

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



The Assange Case

15th request for release

Prisoner of opinion : citizen known as **Julian Paul Assange** (03/07/1971)

Prison : According to the media **Belmarsh**

Prisoner Number : According to the media **A 93 79 AY**

Subject: According to the media Request for information on mandate representation in the case EAW 131226-10

European Arrest Warrant File No. AM 131226-10 :

File No. RCJ CO/1925/2011

WJJA hereby calls upon the judiciary of the sovereign state of the United Kingdom, the members of the sovereign government of the United Kingdom, the judiciary of the sovereign countries and the members of the governments of the sovereign countries, all of whom are respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Charter of the United Nations and the body of international law, to do everything in their power to locate and release the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange.

In order to ensure his safety, WJJA requests the judiciary of the sovereign state of the United Kingdom, the members of the sovereign government of the United Kingdom, the judiciary of sovereign countries and the members of the governments of sovereign countries, all of whom are respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Charter of the United Nations and the body of international law, to make public his birth identity, to allow him to contact WJJA and to give him the opportunity to express himself publicly.

We recall that the WJJA has filed a complaint with the courts of sovereign countries showing that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is in the hands of a private entity, called Parakratos, obeying the orders of members of the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood and that he is the subject of an illegal false trial (See complaint 1).

Complaint 1 on Line

<http://monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Plainte-Wikijustice-pour-Julian-Assange.pdf>

<<http://monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh/wp-content/uploads/2020/12/Plainte-Wikijustice-pour-Julian-Assange.pdf>>

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1_eXstiw1_xyvDSHkXxCiPYTkaR3WEnpd/view?usp=sharing
JA. International Complaint .pdf

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



New elements allow us to demonstrate, once again, that the procedure imposed on the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is a false illegal procedure that does not respect either English law or international law.

We submit to the judicial authorities of sovereign countries a new violation of the laws by any of the aforementioned individuals. It comes in addition to those that were argued in complaint 1 and concerns the **Call over Hearing and Biden administration's Appeal**.

Call over does't exist in the British justice system.

« In the Assange case everything is done by all the protagonists in the game to create a false justice parallel with false laws and false procedures, as if the legal rules of Great Britain as a country did not exist, as if Assange was being judged in a foreign country, a parallel state, a « parakratos » as the Greeks so aptly describe their "deep state". Parakratos is an illegal state ruled by the oligarchy, which makes a mockery of formal democracy. Great Britain is governed by a « parakratos » that makes a mockery of the "Custody Time Limit" and other human rights formalities in the case of Julian Assange . Moreover, the words "Custody Time Limit" were never uttered during the 20 hearings I attended, as if it were understood that for Julian Assange captivity will be "no limit ».

Monika Karbowska. (<http://monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh/?p=966>)

The **call hearing** is the hearing scheduled, every month, in London, since the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange was incarcerated to determine whether or not he can be released.

We recall that Julian Assange's lawyers have never filed any request for release. To date, only the Human Rights Association, WJJA, has filed 14.

Release Request of Political Prisoner prisoner Julian Paul

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/political-prisoner-julian-paul-assange-release-14-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/political-prisoner-julian-paul-assange-release-13-v%25C3%25A9ronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/political-prisoner-julian-paul-assange-release-11-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/political-prisoner-julian-paul-assange-release-12-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-10-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-9-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-8-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/wjja-release-request-7-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-6-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/5th-request-release-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-political-prisoner-julian-paul-court-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/new-release-request-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/request-number-1-release-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>



If Julian Paul Assange's lawyers never applied for his release, it is because they knew that the Call Hearing were illegal and fake justice. Indeed, the concept of Call Hearing does not exist in British Law.

Call over hearing exists only in Australian law and refers to hearings that prepare a trial "schedule".

In British law, pré-trial detention is called « remand in custody ».

The pré-trial detention is very clearly set out in British law, by Section 22 of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1985 (POA 1985), and the Prosecution of Offences (CTL) Regulations 1987.

The limit of this detention is 56 or 70 days for offences under the jurisdiction of Magistrate Court and 182 days for offences under the jurisdiction of a Crown Court.

Extradition is always tried by a Magistrate Court. The citizen known as Julian Paul Assange has been imprisoned for 20 months, more than 600 days, 10 times more than the legal standard of the remand in Custody Time Limit.

The Public Prosecutor's Office may request an extension of the pre-trial detention, but it cannot argue that it is unable to present incriminating elements justifying the imprisonment of the citizen for request this extension. If the public prosecutor is unable to present new evidence as soon as possible, the citizen is released.


The prosecution may request continued detention if the accused is ill or cannot attend the hearing, or if a judge or an important witness is missing or if there is an ancillary procedure decided by the court in the case of several accused or several offences.

« If the prosecutor fails to assemble the prosecution case within this time limit, the exception to the right to parole ceases to apply. The accused therefore has an absolute right to a lease, i.e. to release ».

« The application must be made before the expiration of the time limit. In considering whether to grant an extension the courts have regard to the criteria laid down in s 22(3) of the POA 1985. Under that provision the court must be satisfied that the need for the extension is due to any of the three specified conditions:

1. the illness or absence of the accused, a necessary witness, a judge or a magistrate;
2. the ordering by the court of separate trials in the case of two or more accused or two or more offences;
3. some other good or sufficient cause. »

Bail





« Unless your family member is remanded for one of the above reasons the court will remand the accused on bail meaning they are free to leave the court but must attend on the next occasion. This is called the « presumption in favour of bail ».

The bail may be ‘unconditional’ or may come with a set of conditions such as they must live at a particular address, not associate with certain people or report to a police station regularly. This is known as « conditional bail ». If the person does not comply with the conditions they can be remanded into custody.

Serious cases

In serious cases where an individual has been charged with murder, attempted murder, manslaughter, rape or attempted rape there is no presumption in favour of bail and the individual will automatically be remanded into custody. »

Law texts

<https://www.inbrief.co.uk/court-proceedings/remand-in-custody-while-awaiting-trial/>

<https://www.nidirect.gov.uk/articles/custody-and-bail>

<https://www.sfo.gov.uk/publications/guidance-policy-and-protocols/sfo-operational-handbook/custody-time-limits/>

<https://www.bindmans.com/insight/blog/those-awaiting-trial-to-spend-longer-in-custody-the-ministry-of-justices-latest-attempt-to-tackle-the-backlog-by-extending-custody-time-limits>

<https://www.gov.uk/charged-crime>

<https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/commons/1988/jun/28/remands-in-custody-for-more-than-eight>

[Remand in custody | Prisoners' Families Helpline](#)

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/extradition-in-criminal-investigation-cases>

It would appear that Claire Dobbin forgetting to attend a hearing, judges on holiday, witnesses unable to attend, appear, in the light of these legal elements, to be rude subterfuges used to prolong one proceeding which are not based on any legal indictment and which do not comply with any of the laws of British and international law.

The notorious « Spanish procedure » was also used to prolong, arbitrarily and illegally, this pre-trial detention: the judicial cheating consisting of starting a parallel trial to allow Baraitser to order a new « remand in custody » on the grounds of "several defendants (UC Global) and several offences ». Except that in the Spanish Case, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is a victim. It is illegal to keep him in prison. Victims summons free in court. This is the rule in all jurisdictions around the world.

However, Baraitser has, every month, 20 times in a row, from September 2019 to December 2020, denied the request for the release of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange and kept him in

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



prison in violation of « Section 22 of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1985 (POA 1985), and the Prosecution of Offences (CTL) Regulations 1987 ».

In summary, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is incarcerated incommunicado and tortured in an English prison even though he is not the subject of any legal proceedings and under Section 22 of the Prosecution of Offences Act 1985 (POA 1985), and the Prosecution of Offences (CTL) Regulations 1987, he should have been released at the first appearance hearing.

The 28 days apply only when the Public Prosecution Service (Public Investigation Service, the prosecution system) arrests an alleged offender. He then has 28 days to prepare an indictment file before presenting it to the judge. However, this pre-trial detention rule only applies if the accusers prove that a crime has been committed and that the accused can effectively evade justice. This is not the case for the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange.

We have proved that the Swedish proceedings against him were only preliminary proceedings that did not result in an indictment. In fact, prosecutor Marianne Ny issued an illegal european arrest warrant on a false charge (See Situation Report 1, Release Requests and Complaint 1).

In Complaint 1 (see attached doc), we filed a complaint against Marianne Ny, the Swedish government and the Swedish judiciary for forgery, forgery, violation of all laws of international law and fundamental human rights.

In the light of the new facts developed in complaint 2, it appears that Marianne Ny, the Swedish government and the Swedish judiciary have set up an illegal system of justice scriptwriting operating in parallel with the sovereign legal justice of Sweden and the international law. This system was presumably set up in collusion with the Mountbounten-Windsor family at the head of the private entity that we can only call the Parakratos British Empire, Hilary Clinton and Barak Obama at the helm of the illegal private entity of the State of Virginia, the Presidents of Ecuador, Raphael Correa and Lenin Moreno, and the feudal judicial institutions of the private entity City of London Corporation belonging to the Windsor family.

The Human Rights Defense Association, WJJA, therefore files a complaint for illegal practice of justice, scripting and staging of false justice, putting illegal judiciary documents into circulation, identity theft, usurpation of a fonction, in violation of British and international law against:

The citizen known as **Stella Moris**, aka **Stella Morris**, aka **Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant**, aka **Stella Smith Robertson**

The citizen known as **Vanessa Baraitser** who discharged the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange in the extradition proceedings while keeping him illegally in prison. She violated British and international laws while she claims to be a judge. She violated fundamental human rights by keeping citizen Julian Paul Assange incarcerated incommunicado while he is no longer the subject of any proceedings. As the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is tortured, she is guilty of complicity in torture.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



Judges **Emma Aburthnot**, **Duncan Ouseley**, **Howard Riddle**, judge **Snow** and judge **Tanweer Ikram** and all judicial personnel from all countries who worked on the Assange case

Marianne Ny, **Maria Haljebo Kjellstrand**, **Ingrid Isgren**, **Thomas Bodstrom**, **Elisabeth Massi Fritz**, **Irmeli Krans**, **Niklas Swenson**, and the management of of Sweden's judiciary management.

The **City of London administration**. Executive Director of Mansion House and Central Criminal Court, **Vic Annells**. The Mayor of the City of London **William Russell**. The two sheriffs **Michael Mainelli** and **Christopher Hayward**.

The management and staff of the **Old Bailey**

The management and staff of the **Westminster Court**

The citizen known as **Rosie Silvester** and the management and staff of Security company **Mitie**.

Alan Dershowitz, **Baltazar Garzon**, the citizen known as **Jennifer Robinson**, **Mark Summers**, **Gareth Peirce**, **Alaistar Lyon**, **Amal Clooney**, **Geoffrey Robertson**, **Edward Hamilton Fitzgerald**, **Liam Walker**, **Mark Summers**, **Renata Avila**, **Per Samuelson**, **Juan Branco**, **Barry J. Pollack**, **Margaret Ratner Kunstler**, **Melinda Taylor**, **Deborah Hrbek**, **Greg Burns**, **James D Catlin**, **Bjorn Hurting**, **Leif Silbersky**, **Monckton Chamber**, **Paul Galbally**, **Eric Dupond Moretti**, **Antoine Vey**, **Hélène Ann Kennedy of Kershaw**, **Philippe Sands**. There are reportedly 126 lawyers, but we have only managed to identify this group.

The association for the defence of Human Rights, WJJA, files a complaint for complicity in the unlawful bias of justice, reports of false trials, participation in the staging and writing of false legal proceedings, lies, deception, manipulation against:

The **management and staff of CIJ** (Center for Investigative Journalism) : citizens known as... **Joseph Farrell**, **Suzan Benn**, **Caroline Nevejan**, **Isabel Hilton**, **Andy Müller Maguhn**, **Eyal Weizman**, **Finlay Dobbie**, **Becky Gardiner**, **Gill Philipps**, **Iona Craig**, **Barbara Bukovska**, **Martin Tomkinson**, **Elaine Potter**, **Michael Langley**, **Christopher Hird**, **Brian Basham**, **David Leigh**, **James Leigh**, **Gavin Miller**, **Josephine Glanville**, **Sami Akhine**, **Juliet Ferguson**, **Jake Charles Rees**, **Marina Calland**, **Tom Sanderson**, **Tom Sanderson**.

The management and staff of the **International medias** who, in **violation of the Munich Charter**, **propagated fanciful stories** and **not source and verified their informations**, **lied**, **resorted to defamation and slander**, confused the profession of journalist with that of advertiser or propagandist, accepted interference from governments or private entities. We recall that media managers and journalists have a duty to defend freedom of information under the Munich Charter, the Universal



Declaration of Human Rights, in the USA, the First Amendment, and the Fundamental UE Charter of Fundamental Human Rights. By misleading the public, by not disseminating vital information, they have violated the basic laws of democracy and seriously harmed the interests of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. Today, the life of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is in great danger. The media by telling nonsense, by withholding information are responsible for the injustices, incarceration incommunicado and torture he has suffered.

Rebecca Vincent, Christian Mihr, Christophe Deloire, management and staff of **Reporters without borders** who attended the hearings without ever publicly denouncing their illegality and staging.

The management and staff of UN for violation of fundamental human rights and UN Charter which produced a report concerning the abusive detention of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange without denouncing and publicly opposing the illegality and the staging of the proceedings, making himself an accomplice to the wrongdoings whereas in view of the missions conferred on him, it was his duty to do so. We recall that the main mission of the UN is to guarantee every citizen the respect of fundamental human rights.

Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

Adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution 39/46 of 10 December 1984

entry into force 26 June 1987, in accordance with article 27 (1)

Article 1

1. For the purposes of this Convention, the term "torture" means any act by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as obtaining from him or a third person information or a confession, punishing him for an act he or a third person has committed or is suspected of having committed, or intimidating or coercing him or a third person, or for any reason based on discrimination of any kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity. It does not include pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions.

2. This article is without prejudice to any international instrument or national legislation which does or may contain provisions of wider application.

Article 2

1. Each State Party shall take effective legislative, administrative, judicial or other measures to prevent acts of torture in any territory under its jurisdiction.

2. No exceptional circumstances whatsoever, whether a state of war or a threat of war, internal political instability or any other public emergency, may be invoked as a justification of torture.



3. An order from a superior officer or a public authority may not be invoked as a justification of torture.

Article 3

1. No State Party shall expel, return ("refouler") or extradite a person to another State where there are substantial grounds for believing that he would be in danger of being subjected to torture.

2. For the purpose of determining whether there are such grounds, the competent authorities shall take into account all relevant considerations including, where applicable, the existence in the State concerned of a consistent pattern of gross, flagrant or mass violations of human rights.

Article 4

1. Each State Party shall ensure that all acts of torture are offences under its criminal law. The same shall apply to an attempt to commit torture and to an act by any person which constitutes complicity or participation in torture. 2. Each State Party shall make these offences punishable by appropriate penalties which take into account their grave nature.

Article 11

Each State Party shall keep under systematic review interrogation rules, instructions, methods and practices as well as arrangements for the custody and treatment of persons subjected to any form of arrest, detention or imprisonment in any territory under its jurisdiction, with a view to preventing any cases of torture.

Article 12

Each State Party shall ensure that its competent authorities proceed to a prompt and impartial investigation, wherever there is reasonable ground to believe that an act of torture has been committed in any territory under its jurisdiction.

Article 13

Each State Party shall ensure that any individual who alleges he has been subjected to torture in any territory under its jurisdiction has the right to complain to, and to have his case promptly and impartially examined by, its competent authorities. Steps shall be taken to ensure that the complainant and witnesses are protected against all ill-treatment or intimidation as a consequence of his complaint or any evidence given.

Article 15

Each State Party shall ensure that any statement which is established to have been made as a result of torture shall not be invoked as evidence in any proceedings, except against a person accused of torture as evidence that the statement was made.

Article 16

1. Each State Party shall undertake to prevent in any territory under its jurisdiction other acts of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment which do not amount to torture as defined in article I, when such acts are committed by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity. In particular, the

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



obligations contained in articles 10, 11, 12 and 13 shall apply with the substitution for references to torture of references to other forms of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

2. The provisions of this Convention are without prejudice to the provisions of any other international instrument or national law which prohibits cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment or which relates to extradition or expulsion.

<https://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CAT.aspx>

The management and staff of **Amnesty International** which denied the status of prisoner of conscience to the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange by instrumentalizing a Swedish preliminary investigation that did not lead to any charges, which validated a false procedure and the staging of illegal false hearings, which validated a European arrest warrant issued on a non-existent charge.

About the fake Extradition Appeal allegedly undertaken by the Biden administration

We proved in Complaint 1 that the State of Virginia was not a sovereign state subject to international law, but a private entity subordinate to the Crown of England or Parakratos British Empire, in the hands of members of the family known as Windsor Mountbatten, aka Bowes Lyon, aka... (See Complaint 1) It is therefore impossible for the State of Virginia to initiate extradition proceedings against anyone.

We proved that the United States government could only initiate proceedings against the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange by violating several articles of its constitution, mainly the First Amendment (See Complaint 1).

We proved that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is not an American citizen and is not subject to proceedings for a misdemeanour or a crime on the territory of the United States of America, so he could not be subject to legal proceedings in the United States. (See complaint 1)

We have proved that the persecution, torture and mock trial of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is of a feudal nature, similar to the trials of the time of the Inquisition.

This private procedure violates all laws of international and English law. Indeed, no private entity is entitled to render justice.

The appeal made by the Biden administration is a pure charade that does not comply with British extradition law (Extradition act 2003).

Extradition Act 2003

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2003/41/contents>

The Biden administration had 7 days to appeal the decision after the discharge order was issued.

This is legally impossible. Indeed, the discharge of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange was pronounced on 2021 January 6 and the Biden administration officially and legally took office on 2021 January 20. The Biden administration had until 2021 January 15 to appeal, which it was unable to do because Biden was not yet officially president.



The Biden administration's request for an appeal is unlawful. It is a sham and an illegal judicial staging.

Appeal against discharge at extradition hearing (Extradition Act 2003)

(1) If the judge orders a person's discharge at the extradition hearing the authority which issued the Part 1 warrant may appeal to the High Court against the relevant decision.

(2) **But subsection (1) does not apply if the order for the person's discharge was under section 41.**

(3) The relevant decision is the decision which resulted in the order for the person's discharge.

(4) An appeal under this [F1]section—

(a) may] be brought on a question of law or fact[F2, but

(b) lies only with the leave of the High Court.]

(5)

[F3]Notice of application for leave to appeal] under this section must be given in accordance with rules of court before the end of the permitted period, which is 7 days starting with the day on which the order for the person's discharge is made.

41 - Withdrawal of warrant before extradition (Extradition Act 2003)

This section applies if at any time in the relevant period the appropriate judge is informed by the designated authority that a Part 1 warrant issued in respect of a person has been withdrawn.

(2)The relevant period is the period—

(a)starting when the person is first brought before the appropriate judge following his arrest under this Part;

(b)ending when the person is extradited in pursuance of the warrant or discharged.

(3)The judge must order the person's discharge.

(4)If the person is not before the judge at the time the judge orders his discharge, the judge must inform him of the order as soon as practicable.

Moreover, as the Swedish procedure has been abandoned in 2019, the citizen Julian Paul Assange is no longer subject to a European arrest warrant. He should therefore have been released as soon as it was announced that the procedure had been closed, either in 2019.

As the State of Virginia is not a sovereign state, subject to international law, it cannot issue an arrest warrant. Moreover, for an arrest warrant to be issued against a citizen, the citizen must have committed a crime in the territory on which the warrant is issued.

We remind you that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is not a U.S. citizen and has not committed a crime in the USA territory. Moreover, it is not subject to any légal procedure.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



No arrest warrant has been issued by the United States of America, no legal proceedings can be initiated by the State of Virginia which is not a sovereign state, subject to international law, the European arrest warrant does not apply to the United States and has been withdrawn.

As in the case of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, section 41 of the Extradition Act 2003 applies, appeal is not possible.

In the Assange case, by appealing the decision of the British courts, the Biden administration violated the laws of the courts of the sovereign English state and the laws of international law.

A sovereign government is the guarantor of the laws. The Biden administration, by violating them, behaves like a criminal.

The Biden administration began its tenure by breaking the law in becoming part of the fake Assange trial staged by private entities, Parakratos British Empire, run by the family known as Windsor Mountbatten, aka Bowes Lyon, aka... and by conducting fake impeachment proceedings against Donald Trump.

Twice the Biden administration, in less than a month of running the state, appears to not having been aware of compliance with deadlines of legal proceedings. This is worrisome, to say the least, given the international responsibilities of Mr. Biden and his staff.

USA Constitution.

Article I (Section 2)

The House of Representatives shall choose their Speaker and other Officers; and shall have the sole Power of Impeachment.

It is clear that the Senate will have the power of impeachment, but to impeach someone, he must be in office, which is no longer the case with Donald Trump.

« Judgment in Cases of **Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit** under the United States: but the **Party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law.** »

The US Constitution's impeachment procedure works like any impeachment procedure in the world. It consists of removing the incriminated person from office and excluding him or her from administrative functions so that the interests of the nation are no longer prejudiced. It is an eviction procedure, in no case a judgment since the person incriminated will have to answer for his crimes in a court of justice. There will then be a conviction or acquittal. The Biden administration in conducting impeachment proceedings against a president who is no longer in office has thus staged a false and illegal administrative proceeding.

As a result, the Biden administration lied to the American people and the citizens of the world, manipulated public opinion with the help of certain media to make it look like a lawsuit or legal proceeding, and squandered public money.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



In a sovereign democratic country, no manipulation of administrative bodies for private purposes can be tolerated.

Since Donald Trump was no longer president, the impeachment procedure had no reason to exist.

The Human Rights Association, WJJA, is asking the judicial authorities of sovereign countries to open an investigation to determine the reasons that led the Biden administration to organize a false procedures.

As a suspicion of electoral fraud weighs on the Biden administration, this false trial is akin to an abusive and illegal deception and confiscation of US government institutions by a private entity that acts like a Greek parakratos.

USA Constitution

Article I. (Section 3)

The Senate shall chuse their other Officers, and also a President pro tempore, in the Absence of the Vice President, or when he shall exercise the Office of President of the United States.

The Senate shall have the sole Power to try all Impeachments. When sitting for that Purpose, they shall be on Oath or Affirmation. When the President of the United States is tried, the Chief Justice shall preside: And no Person shall be convicted without the Concurrence of two thirds of the Members present.

Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend **further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor**, Trust or Profit under the United States: but the Party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law.

Article II. (Section 4)

The President, Vice President and all Civil Officers of the United States, shall be removed from Office on Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors.

If the Biden administration had suspected Donald Trump of a crime committed during his tenure, the Biden administration would have filed a complaint with the Virginia state judiciary for Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors. It did not need to script and stage a fake impeachment procedure.

(...) but the Party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law. (Section 3. USA Constitution)

Nevertheless, in light of the law violations committed by the Biden administration in the Assange mock trial and in the mock impeachment proceedings against Donald Trumps, there is a legitimate concern that a new proceeding against Donald Trump could be a mock proceeding.



Should the Biden administration decide to initiate criminal proceedings against Donald Trump, we urge the judiciary of sovereign countries to ensure that this is not a false proceeding, that the charges are well-founded and that the rules of fair trial are respected.

No sovereign government can tolerate that a private entity gives itself the right to conduct false trials under false accusations without respecting the rules of fair trial and the fundamental human rights guaranteed by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Civilian populations must be protected from these illegal procedures.

Usa Constitution

Article III. (Section 3)

Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort. **No Person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to the same overt Act, or on Confession in open Court.**

The Congress shall have Power to declare the Punishment of Treason, but no Attainder of Treason shall work Corruption of Blood, or Forfeiture except during the Life of the Person attainted.

Amendment VI

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.

We urge judiciary of the sovereign governments to launch an investigation to determine why the Biden administration staged a false and illegal impeachment proceeding against Donald Trumps after he left office.

The Biden administration has twice implemented two false legal proceedings, once in the Assange case, once against Donald Trump.

In view of these two new elements, it is legitimate to consider that the Biden administration is an entity at the service of the global parkratos led by the Mountabttten-Windsor brotherhood.

Consequently, WJJA requests the judiciary of the sovereign state of the United Kingdom, the members of the sovereign government of the United Kingdom, the judiciary of sovereign countries and the members of the governments of sovereign countries, all of whom are respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Charter of the United Nations and the body of international law to investigate whether the Biden administration acted in concert with or at the direction of the Montbatten-Windsor Brotherhood, led by the world's Parakratos, defined in complaint 1, in connection with the Assange and Trump cases. This question is fundamental because the Biden administration is violating human rights and the UN Charter, the Nuremberg



code within the framework of Operation Covid, by imposing the sanitary pass, the medical experimentation called vaccination, the confinement, the wearing of the mask.

We have shown in Complaint 1 that the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood is involved in Operation Covid through Imperial College among others. It is therefore legitimate to believe that the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood is using private entities such as the Biden Administration to implement Operation Covid in various sovereign countries against the will of the civilian populations, some members of the governments, the armed forces and the police, in violation of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Nuremberg Code. It puts civilian populations in great danger by using them as guinea pigs in violation of the Universal Declaration of Bioethics and Human Rights of 19 October 2005 (http://portal.unesco.org/fr/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html).

To date, there are no serious studies on the harmfulness of vaccines and it seems established that phase III clinical trials to ensure their non-harmfulness have not been conducted. Moreover, insurance companies refuse to pay the life insurance of those who could die from their side effects, considering their vaccination as a « suicidal act ». If many people die of side effects after being vaccinated, citizens, public and private sector employees, including the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood, could be responsible for an unprecedented genocide in terms of the number of people vaccinated. By imposing vaccination, they are already violating a fundamental human right guaranteed by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter, as well as the Universal Declaration on Bioethics of 2005.

Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights 19 October 2005

http://portal.unesco.org/fr/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html

« Article 3 - Human dignity and human rights

1. Human dignity, human rights and fundamental freedoms must be fully respected.
2. The interests and welfare of the individual should prevail over the sole interest of science or society.

Article 4 - Benefits and Harms

In the application and advancement of scientific knowledge, medical practice and associated technologies, the direct and indirect benefits to patients, research participants and other affected individuals should be maximized and any adverse effects that may affect such individuals should be minimized.

Article 5 - Autonomy and Individual Responsibility

The autonomy of individuals to make decisions, while taking responsibility for those decisions and respecting the autonomy of others, must be respected. For persons unable to exercise autonomy, special measures shall be taken to protect their rights and interests.

Article 6 - Consent



1. Any medical intervention of a preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic nature shall be carried out only with the prior, free and informed consent of the person concerned, based on sufficient information. Where appropriate, consent should be express and the person concerned may withdraw it at any time and for any reason without any disadvantage or prejudice.
2. Scientific research should only be carried out with the prior, free, express and informed consent of the person concerned. The information should be sufficient, provided in a comprehensible form and indicate the modalities for withdrawing consent. The person concerned may withdraw consent at any time and for any reason without any disadvantage or prejudice. Exceptions to this principle should be made only in accordance with the ethical and legal standards adopted by States and should be consistent with the principles and provisions set out in this Declaration, in particular in Article 27, and with international human rights law.
3. In relevant cases of research conducted on a group of persons or a community, the consent of the legal representatives of the group or community concerned may also be required. In no case should collective agreement or the consent of a community leader or other authority substitute for the informed consent of the individual. »

Since the Nuremberg trials, every person has a duty to oppose genocide. Consequently, we ask the judicial authorities of sovereign countries to open an investigation to determine which entities are piloting Operation Covid 19, what is the exact nature of the medical experimentation called vaccination carried out on a global scale on populations subjected to a psychological warfare that harms their understanding, to determine the composition of the injected products in order to determine their action on the organism and their degree of danger in the short, medium and long term. We ask the judicial authorities of sovereign countries and the members of sovereign governments respectful of the UN Charter, Human Rights and the international body of laws to clearly inform the civilian populations about the risks incurred after injection of the so-called « vaccine » products and to ensure the follow-up of each person who has been treated with the said products in order to be able to provide them with treatment if possible, to have them compensated or their families compensated if they die by the people in charge of the companies that produced the so-called « vaccine » products, the media, the doctors, pharmacists and medical staff who carried out these experiments, the members of the governments, etc... The responsibilities of each one of the others will have to be clearly established because it is not the sovereign states, financed by the tax thus by the citizens, themselves victims, to assume the compensations but the actors of the private sector or the citizens who organized, piloted or took part in the Operation Covid 19.

Opposition to the marriage of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange

We have proven in Complaint 1 and in our 14 requests for release that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, does not have an official birth name. We proved that he is incommunicado and forbidden to communicate with the outside world. We tried to reach him, in vain, by all means. We asked to meet him in Belmarsh prison but were told that nothing could be communicated about the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. None of our requests for a meeting were answered by anyone. We paid him a phone credit and provided the

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



phones of five WJJA delegates to contact and send emails as is possible with any prisoner. He never responded. The only mail we received was an SOS that he sent to one of our team doctors. This SOS imposes on us the duty to help him and gives us the legitimate power to defend his interests as long as he is not able to do it himself. We have attended almost every hearing since 2020. We found that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange was not allowed to speak. As soon as he tries to do so, he is ordered to shut up. We found that he showed all the signs of being abused (Testimony Hearing of January 13, 2020) and tortured (One of our doctors who observed the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange produced 3 reports attesting to this. We would like to point out, in passing, that none of our doctors were allowed to meet him, to talk to him in private, without any constraint and to examine him). We also noted that he presented all the signs of an individual under the influence of drugs or psychotropic drugs.

Julian Assange SOS

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/julian-assange-sos-pidancet-barri%25C3%25A8re-v%25C3%25A9ronique/>

Medical reports Political prisoner Julian Paul Assange. Julian Assange is being tortured in England.

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/wjja-third-medical-report-julian-assange-being-v%C3%A9ronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/wjja-second-medical-report-political-prisoner-julian-paul-v%C3%A9ronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/rapport-m%C3%A9dical-du-prisonnier-politique-julian-paul-par-v%C3%A9ronique/>

Hearing 13012020: Face to face with Julian Assange

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/hearing-13012020-face-julian-assange-pidancet-barri%C3%A8re-v%C3%A9ronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/audience-du-13012020-face-%C3%A0-avec-julian-assange-v%C3%A9ronique/>

In view of all the facts described above, it is clear that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is not able to freely consent to a marriage, is not able to freely consent to anything. Therefore, as long as he is not free and able to express himself without being subject to any coercion, threat or violence, any marriage can only be considered as a forced marriage.

Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson publicly claims that if he is not able on this wedding is because he is dying. It is quite surprising that Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson cares to marry a dying man she claims is the father of her children instead of moving heaven and earth to have him released, taken to safety and saved by competent doctors. This behavior reinforces the suspicion of a forced marriage. A dying patient is no longer able to give informed consent.

We recall that the citizen known as John Shipton, alleged father of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, the citizen known as Christine Ann Hawkins, alleged mother of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, the citizen known as Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson have all been made aware of our legal actions and have been offered assistance by our delegates on several occasions. They never followed up. The citizen

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



known as Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson even refused to speak with one of our delegates in London who came to offer her support. We remind you that we offered to pay for a plane ticket for the citizen known as Christine Ann Hawkins to visit her alleged son in prison. Usually, the family members of a political prisoner themselves call on human rights organizations such as WJJA to defend their imprisoned relative. If the association comes to offer help and support, it is welcomed with open arms and cooperation is quickly established to save the prisoner. This joint action of one or more human rights associations and the family leads to a happy ending. All the families of political prisoners know this except, apparently, the presumed family of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange who does not do much to get him out of prison except for a few announcements of his death, his suicide, his madness, and organizes media stagings during which everyone speaks for him. All the families of political prisoners would have been involved in our demands for release and would have put us in contact with their lawyers. Not the alleged family of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. We can only doubt the intentions of the people who claim to be relatives of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange.

We recall that in the Assange Family no one is called Assange, not even, according to his own words, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. We also draw the attention of the judicial authorities to the fact that the citizen known as Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson is known under two identities with a double name, which is usually the case with married women. It is impossible to be a bigamist in an institutional marriage in a democratic country. Only an informal marriage in a sect could allow it.

Therefore, we request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the corpus of international law to initiate an investigation to determine whether the citizen known as Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson is married to two citizens named either Devant, Gonzalez, Smith, or Robertson. We remind you that the director of Frontline Club is named Vaughan Smith. Therefore, we request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the corpus of international law to open an investigation to determine whether the citizen known as Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson is a member of a cult, knowing that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange was allegedly raised in the Australian Family Cult.

Marriage in the United Kingdom

In England, the Marriage Act 1949 as amended governs marriage. It is as precise about the publicity of marriage and consent as those of other European legal systems. The couple must file their notice of marriage with the Registrar. It must contain all the legal information of each: names, address, professions, nationality, certificate of non-bigamy, divorce or death certificate of the previous spouse for widows or widowers. A period of 28 days must elapse during which any person who has a legitimate reason to oppose this marriage can come forward. The banns are published at the end of this period in a place to which all citizens, without exception, can have access. In the Anglican Church, the priest reads the banns at mass, which is important because only an Anglican ceremony

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



has the same legal value as a civil marriage. Citizens of faiths other than Anglican must, in addition to the religious ceremony, perform a civil ceremony.

In British law, as elsewhere in Europe, forced marriage is a crime. Anyone who has knowledge of a forced marriage must report it urgently to the police or prosecutor.

« Forced marriages are where an individual is pressured into marrying someone against their will. It is illegal in the and a criminal offence in the UK. Anyone who is at risk of being forcibly married or know of someone who is should contact the Forced Marriage Unit for advice or, in an emergency, the police. »

<https://www.rocketlawyer.com/gb/en/quick-guides/getting-married>

So, if the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is dying or fighting for his life, the warden of Belmarsh prison is not only liable to prosecution for torture, non-compliance with prison rules that allow visits to prisoners, including and especially visits by human rights organizations, homicide and complicity in forced marriage.

Therefore, we request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, Human Rights and the corpus of international law to investigate whether the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is imprisoned in Belmarsh, whether he is dying there and why the warden and staff of the prison are doing nothing to save him and not releasing him when it is their duty to do so since he should be free.

We therefore call upon the judiciary of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, Human Rights and the corpus of international law to investigate why the sovereign government of the United Kingdom is holding the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange incommunicado and allowing him to die, and who in the British government is responsible for holding incommunicado a man who was ordered to be released at the last hearing. These are very serious facts which are violations of international law, English law and Habeas Corpus. These are very serious facts which are violations of international law, English law and Habeas Corpus. All the more serious because the citizen Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson claims in front of television cameras that the alleged father of her children is fighting for his survival. If he is fighting for his life, it is fair to assume that the UK Government, the warden and the staff of Belmarsh prison are doing everything possible to ensure that a prisoner dies incommunicado in violation of all international laws. So, either the citizen Stella Morriz, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson knows nothing about the health and situation of the citizen known as Julian Paul assange, or the British Government with the complicity of the warden and staff of the Belmarsh prison are killing a man in secret, thus committing a crime.

The identity of the spouses

No one may marry under a false name.

An original birth certificate must be produced in order to get married. The birth certificate must show the birth name, first name and last name as well as any changes in identity: change of name by

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



adoption, marriage, court decision or naturalization. Parents' names must be spelled out. These names must be public: public banns must be published, announcing the will to marry, with the real names of authentic births, corresponding to their ascendants, paternal and maternal, and having been the subject of an administrative declaration at the time of birth (place, exact date, time) of the future spouses. Even in non-secular systems in which a concordat marriage has the value of a civil marriage, the names of the future spouses must be announced publicly, in writing and orally during Masses in the churches.

We know that Stella Morris is a pseudonym that this woman uses instead of her real name. She herself has stated this several times in the media. Some sources give her a third name, Smith Robertson. We also know that she is not a lawyer and is not registered at the British Bar under any of her three names. She also does not have a law degree. It should be added that the use of a double name by a woman most of the time means that she uses her birth name with her married name, which allows the legislator to question previous marriages.

We request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, Human Rights and the corpus of international law to investigate to determine and authenticate the birth name of the citizen known as Stella Morris, aka Morris, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson and to ensure that she is not married to others, either by legal civil marriage or by informal union within a cult.

See Release Request 10 : <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/release-request-10-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

As for Julian Paul Assange, he himself specifies in an Ecuadorian document countersigned by a consul that his name is not Julian Paul Assange but that he would have adopted this name without taking any legal steps to make it official, which is impossible. We have demonstrated this in one of our requests for release.

The birth certificate in which he appears under the name of Julian Paul Assange is also questionable.

This document was produced as part of the application for Ecuadorian naturalization, signed by a certain Alistar Douglas Dodds, on August 19, 1996 and certified by the High Governorate of Australia, in London, in August 2017. Now for any legal application, especially for a naturalization application, it is always necessary to present a birth certificate less than 3 months old. Here the applicant presented a 19 year old document. The Australian authority has strangely certified this old document instead of requiring the presentation of a birth certificate of less than 3 months. It is therefore legitimate to think that this document is a fake.

This birth certificate does not include the name of a father and only the name of the mother, Christine Ann Hawkins. Therefore, the child born on July 3, 1971 can only be named after the mother and called Hawkins. John Shipton does not appear on this document, nor does any other man. The box labeled "parents: father" located first, before the mother's name as it should be in a patriarchal and patrilineal society, which was Australia in 1971, is empty.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



There is no evidence that John Shipton is the father of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. Legally, moreover, he is not his father. They are not related.

Furthermore, none of the documents certified by the Ecuadorian consulate, including Julian Assange's declaration on his honor and his application for Ecuadorian nationality, mention marriage, let alone children. Julian Assange presents himself on various documents, on several occasions, as being single and without children. These official documents invalidate the discourse held in the media by the citizen Stella Morris, aka Morriz, aka Stella or Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson who claims that the children she exposes in the media are Assange's children, born in 2016 and 2019. The name of the child born in 2016 should have been included in Assange's identity documents used by the diplomatic mission of Ecuador if that child had been his and recognized by him.

In his application for Ecuadorian citizenship, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange states that he was born as Julian Paul Hawkins, (page 35) gives the number of his Australian passport (M5562431 - but the published passport has a blacked out and illegible number on page 56) and then explains in a sworn statement (page 46) why the name on his passport is not his birth name on his birth certificate but Julian Paul Assange. Since he has not taken any legal action to legalize his Assange pseudonym and Brett Assange has not adopted it, this is legally impossible. Official documents (driver's license, passport, identity card) are issued under the birth name, otherwise they are forgeries (See Release Request 10).

« I was known by this name, which is my mother's maiden name in the early years of my childhood » signs « Julian Paul Assange » in her statement. What is strange is that no annotation of a change of his name appears on his certified birth certificate in 2017. There is every indication that he may still have THIS NAME of Hawkins today, if this published birth certificate is indeed authentic.

« Shortly thereafter my mother married and adopted her married name and was changed to Christine Assange » – the declarant, however, gives no date and the consulate asks for no evidence to verify his claim. However, this is an application for nationality and for this it is essential to establish a filiation with certainty in order not to attribute nationality to persons whose identity is uncertain. However, no document bears the name of Christine Assange, only Christine Hawkins, and the consul does not ask for proof of this identity and marriage, which is already in itself a kind of malpractice.

« I too adopted the name of my father-in-law without there being a formal process of adoption and or a process of change of name and since then I have been known as Julian Paul Assange. » says the applicant of Ecuadorian nationality in September 2017.

Of course, such a phenomenon is unlikely in the real life of the bureaucratized societies in which we live. Without a certificate of adoption or recognition of paternity, it is legally impossible to use the name of the husband of his mother in the civil status records. Some societies may accept that a « stepfather » has an informal



role to play in the daily life of a child, such as picking him up from school, but for any legal act a written proof of the man's link with the child must be sanctioned by a legal authority. And this bond, this paternal authority, is not acquired by simple declaration.

So who named the Hawkins-boy Assange? The applicant's account is not clear on this point and no date is given. It is not possible for an adult to take his stepfather's name as anything other than a pseudonym, if no legal act of adoption or recognition is made.

The sentence therefore suggests that « Assange » is a pseudonym, especially since the Ecuadorian Consul has no proof of Mr. Assange's existence as a stepfather – no birth certificate, no marriage certificate, no copy of an identity document. Nor does he ask Mr. Hawkins, who has magically become Assange, and in this he validates the use of a pseudonym as a name in a civil status. In fact, let's not be afraid to say it, it legally validates the use of a false name.

« I have used this name in the educational system, in the financial system or other legal documents, bank documents and driver's license » says Julian Paul Hawkins, even though we have never been able to see a bank card in his name and everything in the story of his life shows that he does not have a school diploma or a driver's license. The Ecuadorian State does not ask him for this kind of proof, which is strange.

« In the early 90's I applied for my first passport. This one adopted another name through use and association ».

This notion of adopting a pseudonym through « use and association » is legally impossible in Western bureaucratic societies. If an authority issues a passport not on the basis of the legal birth name but on the basis of a pseudonym and banks and schools equally, it is establishing a false document.

However, Australian law clearly states that the name on the passport application must be exactly the same as on the full birth certificate. If you wish to change your name, in Australia as in Europe, you must have valid reasons for doing so and the change must be registered in the territorial register of births, deaths and marriages^[4]. The birth certificate of Julian Paul Hawkins certified as authentic by Australia in 2017 shows that no official name change has taken place and that Hawkins is therefore not called Assange. Is his name Hawkins?

So what is this Australian passport that is published on the internet and is supposed to be the official ID of the man known as « Julian Assange »? Could it be a fake?

This hypothesis raises the question of the visibly anarchic functioning of Australia, a state of the British Commonwealth that is supposed to be a democratic state under the rule of law.

« Thus as applied in my first passport and delivered by the Australian Department of External Affairs DFAT, along with my original birth certificate in the name of Julian Paul Hawkins I enclose the attestation that I have adopted the name Julian Paul

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



Assange by use and association » concludes the document of September 5, 2017 – It could not be clearer that the name « Assange » is a pseudonym adopted « by use. »

In fact, the birth certificate remains in the name of Julian Paul Hawkins, but the Australian State has no problem renewing Julian Assange's passport under his alias, in violation of its own laws. It thus allows the State of Ecuador to validate this name, despite its flagrant contradiction with the only civil status document presented, the birth certificate. Australia is playing a shady game in creating a false identity for its most illustrious and controversial national.

So what documents will Julian Paul Hawkins use to get married today? A birth certificate in that name or an Australian passport issued in 2017 in Assange's name? And which of the two documents will be true? Is even one of them real?

To prove that « Stella Morris aka Sara Smith Robertson aka Sara Gonzalez Devant » and « Julian Paul Hawkins aka Assange » got married, the civil servant conducting the ceremony would have to prove the real identity of both people and publish this evidence.

<http://monika-karbowska-liberte-pour-julian-assange.ovh/?p=1658>

[Names and name change | Australian Passport Office \(passports.gov.au\)](https://passports.gov.au)

Marriage in British legal documents

In hospitals

Marriage is a right guaranteed by the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the European Convention on Fundamental Rights and the british Marriage Act 1949 amended in 1983.

The Marriage Act of 1983, which amended the 1949 Act, provides for the exercise of the right to marry by persons who are confined to a closed place: patients in hospitals, patients at home, prisoners under house arrest and prisoners in jail[1].

<https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1983/32>

It provides for the conditions of the publicity of the marriage and the exercise of the consent even in these conditions. One document is essential: a public medical certificate explaining why this person cannot exercise his or her right to marriage other than in this place of confinement which is also his or her home (« house-bounded »). This essential certificate must be provided to the registry office 14 days before the notification of the intention to marry given by the future spouses. This document is then part of the public banns along with the other identity documents provided for by the 1949 Act.

It is the registry office that is responsible for the publicity of the marriage, but the institution in which the « confined » person resides is responsible for the reality of his consent. This is the case of hospitals, psychiatric hospitals and also prisons.

If the person is too ill, his consent is not valid and the marriage is void. That is why relatives, human rights associations and citizens must be able to check whether the person locked up can really exercise his or her consent and that he or she has not been subjected to a forced marriage. Too

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



many people have been locked up in psychiatric institutions by malicious relatives for political reasons or for inheritance.

The Winsdor-Mountbatten family did imprison one of their members, Alice von Battenberg, in psychiatric hospitals in Switzerland and Germany for several years after kidnapping her five daughters and son. However, the history of Alice von Battenberg shows that she was anything but mentally ill, since during the Second World War she participated in the Resistance in Greece, made several trips to occupied Europe and was distinguished as Righteous Among the Nations.

We request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries that respect the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the corpus of international law to investigate whether the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is in a psychiatric hospital, who put him there and on what grounds.

We urge the judiciary of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the corpus of International Law to investigate whether he is dying and why the management of the hospital and the sovereign government of the United Kingdom are not doing anything to rescue and release him. We recall that the order for his release was made at the last hearing.

We remind you that officially the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is a prisoner in Belmarsh prison and nowhere has it been stated that he can be interned.

We urge the judiciary of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the corpus of International Law to investigate to determine where in the United Kingdom or elsewhere the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange may be located in order to determine who is holding him in secret and why?

Marriage in prison

The document PSI 14/2016 « Marriage of prisoners and civil partnership registration » of the National Offender Management Service (or Her Majesty Prison and Probation Service) organizes the modalities of exercising the right to marriage in prison.

<https://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/offenders/psipso/psi-2016/psi-2016-14-marriage-prisoners.pdf>

Every prisoner can get married inside the prison. Some of them can also get married outside the prison, provided that they meet the security conditions which vary according to their status and the length of their sentence. The procedure is very simple: the prisoner and his or her partner fill out a form notifying the prison management of their intention to marry. The prison management has 3 months to answer if it is possible and under what security conditions (police escort of the prisoner) they will allow the ceremony to take place outside the prison. If the exit is not authorized, the wedding must be held inside the prison. It is not possible for the prison management to object to a prisoner's marriage. The prison governor also has specific duties towards the prisoner to enable him to exercise his rights.

The prison management must ensure that the prisoner can provide the necessary certificates for the completion of the legal act of marriage, i.e. his birth certificates, the certificate of non-bigamy that

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



everyone must also provide for his marriage on the outside, an address on the outside on the marriage certificate if one wants to hide the fact that it took place in prison.

In addition, the prison management must ensure the presence of religious representatives for the religious ceremony and a registrar. Indeed, only the Anglican religious wedding has the legality of a civil wedding, all other religious ceremonies require the guarantee of a secular registrar in addition. Stella Morris claims that Julian Assange solicited a Catholic priest for their wedding. It is clear that their Catholic marriage certificate issued in a British prison will not legally valid unless countersigned by a secular registrar and if it does not follow all the official procedures described above. A Catholic certificate is not proof of a valid marriage in Anglican England. The marriage will not be official.

Finally, the prison management must ensure that the marriage certificate has been received by the couple. One of the features that undermines the rights of prisoners is the duty of the management to report the marriage of a prisoner « subject to immigration laws » to the immigration authority. In principle, management cannot refuse to an immigrant marry person, but it can track down a supposed « imposture ». I point this out here because we still do not know under which nationality Julian « Assange » is detained, nor of which nationality Stella Morris is or even where he is actually detained since the Belmarsh management refused to comment on this in a letter that send to WJJA.

The prison governor has an obligation to verify that the inmate is not married under duress.

« If governors have concerns that a prisoner may be entering into a marriage or civil partnership under duress, this should be reported to the registrar or registration authority.

Forcing someone to marry against their will is a criminal offence under section 121 of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014. »

<https://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/offenders/psipso/psi-2016/psi-2016-14-marriage-prisoners.pdf>

[7] <https://www.justice.gov.uk/downloads/offenders/psipso/psi-2016/psi-2016-14-marriage-prisoners.pdf>

The floor is [JULIANASSANGE.COM](https://www.julianassange.com), aka Général Sands, aka Christine Ann Sands, aka @MrsC_Assange aka...

For near two years now, we have been in contact with [JULIANASSANGE.COM](https://www.julianassange.com), aka General Sands, aka Christine Ann Sands, aka [JULIANPAULASSANGE.COM](https://www.julianpaulassange.com), with whom we have regular exchanges, sometimes daily by email or messenger. [JULIANASSANGE.COM](https://www.julianassange.com), has been working on the Assange case for ten years. He gave us his help without any compensation and provided us with many documents that helped us in our investigations. Many people, from different countries, have forbidden us, sometimes violently or with threats, to dialogue with [JULIANASSANGE.COM](https://www.julianassange.com), under the pretext that he was crazy and wanted to harm the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. We did not give in to any form of blackmail or pressure. We have continued our dialogue with [JULIANASSANGE.COM](https://www.julianassange.com). [JULIANASSANGE.COM](https://www.julianassange.com), uses Julian Assange, Julian Paul Assange and Julian Paul Hawkins' names without anyone suing or stopping him or her. We are not able to



say what he or she looks like since we have never seen him or her. However, three of the WJJA delegates had phone conversations or Whatsapp exchanges with him or her. Even if we have heard the sound of his or her voice, we will not comment on his or her gender, age, or whether we have spoken with one or more people because voices can be easily doctored. However, we can testify that on each occasion, we conversed in English with a person who did not have a particular accent, even if we could at times think, based on some intonations, that she or he might not be of Anglo-Saxon origin. He or she has always expressed himself or herself in a chatied language, using complex and sometimes uncommon words to good effect. She or he has without any doubt the sense of propriety and manners. Even if he or she has her or him own way of structuring her thoughts, he or she is a person gifted with a lively intelligence and an affect that makes him or her sympathetic. He or she is consistent in everything he or she says. We can therefore testify that he or she is not crazy. We can also testify that JULIANASSANGE.COM, cares about the health and fate of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. He or she always alerted us when he or she thought he might be in danger or that a procedure might harm him. He or she seems to know the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange well and for many years perhaps since childhood.

We would like to make it clear that even if JULIANASSANGE.COM, had been in a psychiatric hospital or notoriously mentally ill, we would have listened to what he had to say and would have continued to discuss with him or her. To classify an individual as « insane », as we have been repeatedly told about JULIANASSANGE.COM, is to oppose normality to abnormality. However, the notion of normality has no meaning when it comes to human beings. Norms apply to objects, not to individuals. It is the eugenicists and the Nazis who define norms to classify citizens according to criteria of profitability and social adaptability and choose to eliminate some of them to maintain what they call « the social body », the « blood of the species », in good health. Eugenics and Nazism violate fundamental human rights and our association fights them wherever they occur. **Jean Jaures** said « *The progress of humanity is measured by the concessions that the madness of the wise makes to the wisdom of the mad* » and **Friedrich Nietzsche** « *There is always a little madness in love but there is always a little reason in madness* ». It is always possible to communicate with a schizophrenic, a paranoid or an autistic. It is enough to adjust the keys of a common language allowing to establish and maintain the dialogue. Human rights do not stop at the doors of schools, hospitals or psychiatric hospitals, palaces, companies, police, army, FBI or CIA. Every individual has the right to express himself freely if he or she is a victim of violence, of abusive confinement, of torture, of threat and it is the duty of an Association like WJJA to listen to them. Afterwards, it remains to investigate and to make the truth emerge.

Why give JULIANASSANGE.COM, the floor ? First of all, because he or she has been working on the Assange affair for ten years and has collected countless documents on the subject which have enabled us to follow up many leads and to carry out our investigations more quickly. It is legitimate to do him or her justice. He or she has been fighting for ten years for the release of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, for the truth, and he or she, (or they) is making all his or her information available in open source. He or she doesn't like it when we say it, but over the course of

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



our exchanges, despite his or her slightly ghostly interventions, we ended up integrating him or her into our teams.

Secondly, we believe JULIANASSANGE.COM, is an important, even key, witness in the Assange case and other cases, involving human trafficking and child sex rings. By formalising our relationship with her or him, we hope to protect her or him and enable him or her to testify in the future in the open when all the legal and judicial requirements are met. JULIANASSANGE.COM, never told us why he could not or would not speak out under his birth name. That choice is his or her. We protect the witnesses we let speak as they see fit for their safety and the safety of their loved ones. Only they are able to assess the dangers to which they are exposed.

We have proven that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange does not have a birth name. He was also, according to the story-telling, raised in a cult where children changed their names, had several passports and were sent abroad. Today, nobody knows who he is. The problem of identity is one of the serious pitfalls facing the rule of law and international law in the Assange case. Indeed, an individual without an identity has no social status. He is reduced to the rank of a piece of furniture, and therefore a slave, and is at the mercy of those who have forbidden him to have a birth name. He may be forced to commit acts against his will. He is deprived of any protection since he has no family. He cannot benefit from the protection of his state since he is not registered at birth. He may be forced to live cut off from the world as is the case in some sects. A citizen without identity can be put to death and disappear without anyone noticing. A citizen without identity does not exist. He has no rights or duties. He is a ghost. To deprive an individual of his identity is to rob him of his life.

No one has heard the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange speak publicly, except us who were present at the trial of October 21, 2019 during which he was able to pronounce a single sentence about the « *psychologists who entered his inner life* » and about the theft of the « *DNA children* ». What Children?! « Children's DNA ? », « his children ? », real children or « computer children ? », software he created? Difficult to know since he has had neither the right nor the opportunity to express himself again. Whenever he tries to do so, he is silenced or the court turns off the microphones so that no one can hear him.

Forcing someone to reproduce is typical of sects or Nazi lebensborn (<https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lebensborn>). In both cases, the group takes precedence over the individual and the children are born without an identity. They are objects. There were Nazi lebensborn in many countries including France (<https://www.franceculture.fr/emissions/une-histoire-particuliere-un-recit-documentaire-en-deux-parties/le-manoir-de-bois-larris-12-une-pouponniere-nazie-en-france> / <https://www.franceculture.fr/emissions/une-histoire-particuliere-un-recit-documentaire-en-deux-parties/le-manoir-de-bois-larris-22-les-enfants-du-lebensborn>). In both cases, children are brought up by educators who condition them from an early age to submit to what they are told to do. The Australian family Cult where Assange is said to have grown up is a prime example. Another case where one can force someone to reproduce is when a swindler wants to keep his hands on a patrimony that is not his and for which the law can ask him to justify his right of ownership. The official heir is kept alive as a hostage and property deed, and after him, his descendants, who are



sometimes also used as a means of pressure and blackmail to obtain his cooperation. It is likely that George I kidnapped his wife to steal the kingdom of England : « *Éléonore was finally recognized by her husband's family as Duchess of Brunswick and, most importantly, Sophia Dorothea was declared Princess of Brunswick-Lüneburg-Celle with all appertaining rights of birth. Also, George Louis' parents finally agreed to the proposed marriage with Sophia Dorothea as a way of avoiding uncertainty and inheritance disputes.* » (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Éléonore_Desmier_d%27Olbreuse)

According to the deed of settlement, **Sophie-Dorothee of Brunswick-Lunebourg** would have been the heir to the crown of England and not **George Ier** her husband but George had her marriage dissolved with the complicity of her father-in-law for abandonment of the marital home.

« George's marriage to Sophie-Dorothee was dissolved, not for reasons of adultery but on the basis that Sophie-Dorothee had abandoned her husband. With the support of her father, George had Sophie-Dorothee imprisoned in her hometown of Celle at Ahlden Castle, where she remained until her death in 1726. She was refused visits from her children and her father, and she was not allowed to remarry or even to walk alone outside the castle. Nevertheless, she was granted an income, land and servants, 13 and a crew that she could lead outside the castle, albeit only under supervision. »

[https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Ier_\(roi_de_Grande-Bretagne\)](https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/George_Ier_(roi_de_Grande-Bretagne))

After the rejection of his daughter, George William decided to improve definitively the status of Éléonore and Sophia Dorothea: by contract signed on 22 August 1675 and in open violation of his previous promise, George William declared that his marriage to Éléonore was not morganatic but valid to both church and state, with a second wedding ceremony being held at Celle on 2 April 1676. George William's younger brother Ernst August and specially his wife Sophia of the Palatinate demonstratively stayed away from this second wedding.[9] Twenty-two days later, on 24 April, the second marriage was made public and Éléonore officially addressed as Duchess of Brunswick and their daughter declared legitimate.

This development greatly alarmed his relatives, as it threatened to hinder the contemplated union of the Lüneburg territories. Indeed, if George William had had a son, a serious succession crisis could have arisen. No son however was born, because Éléonore next two pregnancies, in 1671 and August 1676 produced only short-lived daughters. Once it became clear that George William wouldn't have male heirs, his brothers relented: by family agreement signed on 13 July 1680, Éléonore was finally recognized by her husband's family as Duchess of Brunswick **and, most importantly, Sophia Dorothea was declared Princess of Brunswick-Lüneburg-Celle with all appertaining rights of birth.** Also, George Louis' parents finally agreed to the proposed marriage with Sophia Dorothea as a way of avoiding uncertainty and inheritance disputes. The wedding took place on 21 November 1682 but since the beginning the union was a complete failure: the feelings of hatred and contempt that Sophia of the Palatinate had over her daughter-in-law were soon shared



by her son George Louis, who was oddly formal to his wife. Sophia Dorothea was frequently scolded for her lack of etiquette, and the two had loud and bitter arguments. Nevertheless, they managed to have two children in quick succession: George Augustus (born 30 October 1683 and future King George II of Great Britain) and Sophia Dorothea (born 16 March 1687 and by marriage Queen consort in Prussia and Electress consort of Brandenburg).

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Éléonore_Desmier_d%27Olbreuse

It is also legitimate to doubt the authenticity of the personal union with Anne Stuart that George Ier took advantage to appropriate the crown of England.

A personal union is made between two or more states that recognise the same head of state, usually a monarch. This is the case in Commonwealth countries that depend on the British Crown. There is then a governor in each province. This governor is at the orders of the monarchy on which he depends. With regard to the Kingdom of Hanover, which is a geo-politically, legally and economically ill-defined entity, it is necessary to determine which monarch of the Kingdom of England, Scotland and Ireland has entered into Personal Union with the Duchy of Brunswick-Lüneburg or with the Brunswick-Calenberg lineage. Generally, a duchy does not have the vocation to take the governance of a kingdom, especially a kingdom as powerful as that of England, Scotland and Ireland. The personal union is signed in 1714, the year of Queen Anne Stuart's death. Is she who signs this personal union? From a monarchical point of view, it is a highly improbable act. Certainly, she does not have a direct heir but there are some in the Stuart family. **Charles Edward Louis John Casimir Sylvester Severino Maria Stuart**, also called the **Bonnie Prince**, the **young pretender** or the **young chevalier**, is the direct heir to the crown of England. He will also try to recover the crown. It seems that George I appropriated the throne of England by a Personal Union with Anne Stuart, which hardly seems credible, and by sequestering his wife, who seemed to be the crown princess of the Brunswick-Lüneburg-Celle fief. Such a system cannot survive over several decades without having in its possession the families' authentic heirs, which are used as property deeds and pressure tactics. Breeding them together to increase areas of influence, taking away their children as leverage to force them to cooperate are common acts in this kind of scam. As we demonstrated in our complaint 1, the absence of a birth name and the usurpation of office is a common practice in the Mountbatten-Windsor, aka Bowes Lyon brotherhood, none of whose members appear to have had legitimacy on the English throne since George I. **Boris Johnson** and **Donald Trump**, who are both said by medias to be descendants of the Stuart branches through their respective mothers, would have more legitimacy to claim the throne than any member of the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood, aka Bowes Lyon, aka... The descendants of **Xenia Romanov** would also be more legitimate on the throne of England than any member of the Mountbatten-Windsor, aka... brotherhood.

With regard to the behaviour of the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood, it is legitimate to question the words spoken by the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange regarding his « DNA Children » as we have demonstrated in our complaint (See Complaint 1 and Request for Release) that he is a prisoner of war and hostage. If they are real children, it means that somehow he was forced to

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



donate his sperm. During the hearing, he did say « **stolen** », which excludes his free consent. It is therefore a case of rape. We recall that in his statement in the Swedish case, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange shows all the signs of a drugged and raped man. He doesn't remember anything, not even who put the condom on. Generally, a prisoner of war and hostage is only forced to donate sperm for the purpose of reproduction if the sperm is of monetary value in any kind of transaction. It is therefore legitimate to believe that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is a high-ranking hostage belonging to a family that could play a primordial role on the world stage or, at least, at the level of the British Empire, since we have demonstrated in our complaint that he was sequestered by the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood, aka Bowes Lyon, aka...

We therefore call upon the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine the birth name and nationality of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka....

We therefore call upon the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine whether the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange could have been born in a « Lebensborn » and whether he could belong to a lineage that could have a connection with the English crown.

We therefore request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to open an investigation to determine whether the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange was forced to donate sperm under duress or under the influence of drugs, whether children were born as a result of this rape and under what conditions (nature of the fertilization, use of a surrogate mother), to identify them and to ascertain their genetic heritage.

We therefore call upon the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights to investigate whether citizens other than the one known as Julian Paul Assange, aka... could be held hostage by the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood, aka Bowes Lyon, aka... and where they could be held.

The Assange case is an important one because the secret detention of a man, held hostage, who seems to be able to be used as a means of pressure on the sovereign government of the United Kingdom or on the sovereign government of certain foreign powers linked to the British crown, jeopardises the entire global geopolitical balance.

Identity, birth name, family or lineage, place of birth seems to be one of the Gordian knots in the Assange case. Without a birth name there is no existence but also no freedom. No identity papers, no university enrolment, no driving licence, no legal marriage, no legal offspring, no bank account, no possibility to work, etc. The identity of the individual is one of the pillars of a society governed by the rule of law, i.e. a society that applies the principle of equality, inter alia before the law, since it validates a social existence conferring rights and duties. It validates the « uniqueness of the being », in fact its right to be free, i.e. to use its free will within the community while respecting the



laws that govern this community, these laws having been approved by the community as a whole and applying in the same way to everyone.

Since we have been investigating the Assange Affair, in connection with the Covid Affair, we have been in contact with witnesses who seem to be trapped in a mafia system that makes it impossible for them to testify in the open. Some of these individuals have compared themselves to rats and told us « *give a rat a little more to eat and it will kill the other rats* ». Those with whom we have been or are still in contact, seem to be allowed to operate in a limited territory of the public sphere, in specific places and for specific actions. They seem to act under control. Under certain conditions, they show manifestations of fear, sometimes terror. Some seem to be under house arrest. Food deprivation (many with symptoms of anorexia or malnutrition, some with serious deficiencies), acts of violence, experienced physically or as a helpless witness, constant threats, seem to be the *modus operandi* of the management system employed at the bottom of the Parakratos ladder defined in our complaint (See Complaint 1) to instrumentalise individuals. All of them give us approximate addresses, difficult to geolocate, remain vague about their professions or say things that are not consistent with the professions they are supposed to practice. Some women told us that they had been pregnant every year but were unable to say what had happened to their babies. They are in possession of credit cards that are not in their names, some with pictures on them (not their own), credit cards that not resembling any credit card in circulation in the public sphere. They have little or no cash on them and do not seem to have a bank account. All those with whom we have been in contact are unable to report credible family relationships or clear parentage. They are generally single and childless, although children may appear at their side. They present these children as their own but there is usually no emotional interaction between them. The few who offered us meetings in their homes received us in flats in which they did not seem to live, a sort of passageway. On the rare occasions when children were present, there were no toys. Hostages do not always have an articulate language to try to make contact with those who can help them. During the hearing on 13 January 2020, some of the citizens in the audience behaved strangely in court. In the queue that had formed in front of the courtroom door, a woman, almost lying on the floor, one leg straight, the other bent, showed all the signs of someone who was feeling ill, yet she was not. A man in a suit removed layer after layer of clothing, jacket, jumper, tie, opening his shirt collar and pretending to be extremely hot, even though the temperature was not very high. Leading the way was a man named Paul, with physical similarities to the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, sitting in the lotus position and meditating. The president of the WJJA association, went to ask him if he was all right. He replied « no, I'm not well. » She then offered to get him some water. He then took a bottle out of his backpack and explained, « *Oh yes, water, I have some* » while showing the bottle. Emmy Butlin stepped in and the president had to walk away without having managed to question Paul further about the reasons for his discomfort. After the hearing, Paul and all the citizens who accompanied him ostensibly changed, literally metamorphosing before the WJJA delegates. Paul, who had been layering clothes in a hobo fashion, including jumpers that were too big for him, removed some of them, instantly changing his social standing, his outfit showing a harmony of colours and materials carefully combined. A good number put on yellow waistcoats and explained



that they were cycling home. Why do people attending a hearing arrive dressed in one way and leave dressed differently? Paul was present at only one hearing. In the course of our investigations, we were confronted with all sorts of behaviours and speeches that had no other purpose than to attract our attention or to convey messages whose meaning we were able to decipher afterwards.

In one of his books, *The Powers of Evil*, the writer **Jean Edern Hallier** describes female characters who present similar characters to some witnesses with whom we have been in contact. The two women are called **Clara** and **Olivia**. **Clara is German. She is a redhead with green eyes.** Their relationship begins in Paris before she goes to lead a monastic life on the Basque coast. He defines Olivia as a hostage. We recall that Jean Edern Hallier was a French writer, director of the pamphleteer newspaper « *L'idiot International* » and a TV host who helped elect François Mitterrand but opposed him once he was elected. He denounced François Mitterrand's past as a collaborator and his close links with the far-right, Nazi-like terrorist organisation known as the Cagoule.

Clara

« Previously, she had visited me in the large seventeen-room apartment she occupied, on Place Furstenberg - which belonged to an old engineer, builder of the first railroad in Madagascar and collector of African masks and music boxes full of bronze nightingales. They were those of **Monsieur Dormant**. He was very rich. **They called him Daddy.**

(...)

Clara, where are you? Every time I think of you, you're still that sleeping beauty lying deep in my twenties, only dozing, your big fleshy lips parted and that mole on your forehead between your two eyes - **a distant prince, oh my royal whore**. And much more: my ever-renewed stranger. **Like in the porn movies called X, which also means unknown in mathematics.**

(...)

I realize that I have remained in Paris, contenting myself with a few metaphorical forays to a distant Westphalia that I will never know - oh flat country where Clara came from, the black land of Jenny, Marx's wife, and where Voltaire's candid ended his days with his Cunegode, in a castle where he « cultivated his garden at last » - and the Atlantic Pyrenees, on the borders of Béarn and the Basque Country, where she leads her monastic life. The metaphor is the sentimental place of the spirit. **No train leads there - except the Moscow-Saint Petersburg express, with the Anna Karenina in all of us.**

Her back-street status condemned her to remain my mistress for life no matter what the circumstances. **She had sold me her horn as a life annuity. So, I had the usufruct of it forever.**

Traces de dommages corporels de Tortures d'usufruit graves.

One of our informant says... « Trace



of physical damage of severe *usufruct* torture .»

(...)

In fact, it corresponds perfectly to this definition that all males know carnally, without having formulated it themselves. She was a bed belle. But this bed became a boat of the memory (...)

(...)

She reminds me of Fueuerbach, that leader of the young Hegelians, who also came from Westphalia, and who renewed Protestant theology - I don't know about that, but for the sake of my story, when he quoted that anonymous eighteenth-century poem, « The water of the lake is indifferent to its source ». As the years passed, it was the source of desire that disappeared. So how to go back to this source? How can I drink from this waterfall of past fantasies? They survive their own repetition, but it is the sound of the stream that goes away.

(...)

Only love could wake her up. When I asked her to leave the front door open, it pleased me that she could hear me like that, motionless and naked, on the sheets. She was my sleeping dead woman - and this is how memory resurrects, each time, beyond the years, the dead times. In spite of the hundreds, the thousands of years that separate me more and more from Clara, I made her come back and after the orgasm I asked her: **Am I the father of your child?**

(...)

She claimed to have up to three lovers a day. The first one after lunch, the second one before dinner and the third one afterwards, before I called her and she chased him away to receive me. This seemed all the more plausible to me because she sometimes took an interminable time to pick up the phone and her voice sounded lost and vague when she answered me.

(...)

My paternity was possible but not probable. I strongly suspected that she would give the same tearful speech. It didn't matter to me, what excited me most was that she was perpetually pregnant - **and that every time a pregnancy was interrupted, after two or three months, someone came to fill her up again.**

One of the witnesses told us : « *I was pregnant every year, with all that, I could have opened an orphanage* ».

(...)

The gestures of passion need their very monotony to appear inexhaustible. Thus, I explained to Clara that I should have installed it in the cellar of a big house that I

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



would have lived in. I would have forbidden her to go out. I would have had food and wine brought to her. She would have remained locked up, a secret sex slave, entirely submitted to my phallic imperialism.

Many witnesses who contact us, generally anonymously, report abusive confinement and sexual slavery. They often use the word « *house arrest* ». When we offer to help them, to get them out of there, they tell us that it's impossible, that we won't be able to do it, that it's much too dangerous. For WJJA delegates, it is at the time of these testimonies that the issue of identity and birth name comes into sharp focus. If children are born and raised outside the state system in entities managed by the Parakratos defined in Complaint 1, without having been declared in a birth register, used for criminal purposes under numerous false identities, exactly as was the case in the Family Cult where the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange was allegedly raised, it is impossible for them to bring a case to justice or to testify, because they are hostages of the mafia system that produced them and on which they depend for survival. One must always remember this kind of testimony when working on these files : « *give a rat a little more to eat and it will kill the other rats* ». It is perhaps because the entities that use these « nameless slaves » encourage them to fight each other to survive that one of the witnesses told us « *Beware of some Assange* ». On the other hand, those with whom we were in contact, in one way or another, and who manifested the desire to escape from the mafia system that used them, showed a strong sense of guilt for having carried out criminal acts that they condemned under duress.

Depriving an individual of identity and social status is an open door to slavery and all forms of human trafficking. Democratic societies respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code, the Universal Declaration of Bioethics and all the texts related to human rights must oppose any falsification of names and ensure that every child born, whatever his or her country of origin, has a birth name given by his or her parents, who themselves have a legal birth name, and are registered in birth registers kept by the state authorities. When children are orphaned, the person responsible for their safety is indeed their sovereign state. However, the state can only protect them, guarantee them their fundamental rights, allow them to grow up healthy, ensure them to be able to follow studies and lead a normal life if they are registered on legal birth registers as well as their parents.

We have proven that in the Assange Affair no one was named Assange, not even the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange aka.... We have proven that the citizen known as Stella Morris, aka Morriz, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson, aka... does not have an official birth name.

Therefore, we request, once again, the sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Universal Declaration of the Rights of the Child, to open an investigation to determine the name of birth, the place of birth of the children that the citizen known as Stella Morris, aka Morriz, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson, presents as her children and those of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange aka... in all the media.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



We ask, once again, the sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Universal Declaration of the Rights of the Child, to open an investigation to ensure that these children (presumed names Gabriel and Max) are registered in a legal register of birth, that they live under the roof of their « real and lawful » parents.

We ask, once again, the sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Universal Declaration of the Rights of the Child, to kindly open an investigation into whether these children (presumed names Gabriel and Max) could be born of the rape to which the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange testified during the hearing of October 21, 2019.

If it turns out that these children were born of the rape to which the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange aka... has testified, we ask the sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Universal Declaration of the Rights of the Child, to kindly initiate an investigation to determine the birth name of the surrogate mother of these children (presumed names Gabriel and Max) and the circumstances of their conception and their birth.

If it turns out that these children were born of the rape to which the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange has testified, we ask the sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Universal Declaration of the Rights of the Child, to kindly initiate an investigation to determine whether these children could be born and educated in a « lebensborn ».

For all intents and purposes, we would like to remind you that the Nazis were the first to use false names to escape justice. The first were the doctors in the euthanasia centers, as early as 1933, then the doctors in the camps, then those who fled, via the Vatican State, to South America. We recall that children born and raised in the Lebensborn had no birth names and did not know their parents. We recall that in the Lebensborn, children stolen by the Nazis were stripped of their birth names in favor of a false name that was recorded in an illegal civil registry that was the sole responsibility of the Lebensborn administration.

(...)

My novel took shape - Clara's. Last week, I told her that she would receive my first chapters. So she would finally know everything. She would be forever sullied, exposed in the public square - after having been heard by the Elysée services. It was the opposite of voyeurism. I became the actor of my own intimate life. If literature is confession, what separates it from exhibitionism? I hated Rousseau's Confessions, which always seemed to me to be embarrassingly immodest, but I did much worse. **What did Mitterrand, Jean-Jacques and I have in common? We were three poor fathers who abandoned our children.** I was staging myself in my deepest nudity, dragging Clara along with me like the partner in a porn movie set in lived reality. Since Mitterrand had violated my intimacy, my reply had been this book in which I violated his - and all in all, I only had to obtain Clara's retrospective approval and, if necessary, compel her, and, if necessary, force her a little more into the shared



abjection and sweetness of the last times - those of our old age, of the aftermath of our existence, whose dead leaves swirl slowly behind this window which has changed into a high rectangle of an unverifiable pallor, that of the landscape forever erased.

(...)

My book is like I beat her. My words had chilled her, frozen her, like when I had slapped her in the face. What she could least bear, I soon understood, was that I compared her to a whore. She was still a daughter of a large German family. She had been brought up aristocratically, with principles. She had been in the Ursuline convent. She was willing to be deposed, but from above. I had written that her face resembled that of a prostitute in the Rue Saint Denis. She was ready for all sexual excesses and the most immodest libertinism, but she would not admit to passing for a street girl in my eyes. She would have been ready to ride a horse naked, like Lady Godiva, but she was a Westphalian countess.

(...)

Those few months before her abortion were the best moments of unbridled sensuality. Woman among women, she welcomed me, wide open, her breasts heavier than ever, with strawberries that sank deeply when I touched them. **Thus, I was perhaps the father of an innumerable family of unborn children who would have assured my wild descent.** With my little Franco-German tribe, we would have taken long walks on the other side of the Rhine in the vast black forest of lost orgasms.

(...)

At that time, I wanted to change the world changed me. I could not imagine for a moment that it could resist me. No obstacle could stand in the way of my vital energy. **I would break down all walls - the wall of money, the wall of imposture, the wall of lies. Or, I would cut windows in it to show the other side.** Except that behind every wall there is another wall and the deeper you dig, the more you end up walled in. The only real prisons are the ones you build for yourself. What do you see when you wake up? « *There is only one mullioned window* », Clara answered. It's very small, cut into the granite, and it looks out onto an abandoned garden. And what's on the walls? Nothing, they're all white. Do you have any furniture? A night table? No, a fluted chair with an alarm clock on it. I saw this monastic destitution as if I had entered the room where she lay, gently caressing her bleached hair. And what are you resting on? A transhumance bed. It folds in half to take her on the back of a mule to the mountains. The shepherds have these. Oh, my sweet ermine! Prisoner of herself, Clara has dedicated herself to the indefinite wait - a kind of higher divinity in whose name she would have entered into religion. Except that in belief, she had gone from revelation to expectation and from amorous transfiguration to shadows of the past. When I thought of her, desire slowly faded behind admiration. My heart was not filled with compassion and yet she must have been happy somewhere. Otherwise, how



could she have endured this life stranded at the foot of the mountains. I couldn't understand this mystery. Every time I tried to solve it, she said it was me. What could I have done or not done to her? If I had broken her, it was without meaning to. I always felt the same retrospective stupor, no longer able to take responsibility for her humiliated existence, captive of herself, as I had once been able to assume the paternity she lent me. **If I had known, what would I have done? Probably nothing. So it was better that I never knew anything. Nothing worse than taking on the other person's fantasies. My own life, I hadn't even known how to take it on. I had only prolonged it beyond my predicted - and infinitely probable - death, since the rogue state had come after me. Like Pelat, I should have been unplugged. Like Bérégovoy, I should have shot myself twice in the head - as if that were even possible.**

(...)

Woman of my life, she remained a stranger in every sense of the word. Unlike my friend Victor Hugo, she had only been the Juliette Drouet of my echo chamber. Like the poet's mistress, Clara had accompanied me everywhere, but without ever being there - a distant intercession of my journeys at the end of the night.

(...)

She had a brother who did business in the Far East. He lived three quarters of the time in Hok-Kong. She never saw him. He died in a plane crash. She had two sisters. One lived in Tunisia. (...) Her other sister was paralysed from a very young age and used a wheelchair in the gardens of a private clinic. Clara never saw them again. Now she was alone in the world.

(...)

What to do? We kept coming back to the same question: the children we didn't make. Once I asked him to send me a picture of a young girl. A few days later, I received it, along with a few respectful letters that Jean-Luc Godard and François Truffaut had once sent her. At least they had not treated her like a slut. She added a lovely watercolor in the Japanese style and a drawing of a maternity ward. Turning it around, I couldn't figure out what it was. Until I saw a kind of bloody frog between the thighs and lying in a green and swampy meadow. Then I felt a quiet shiver of horror. **Thus were lost all the unborn children I had made for her - in that bloody painting. It was not the children I had not made for her but the murder of the mother that I discovered.** I was the lover and the murderer at the same time. All that she had not dared to tell me, she was sending it symbolically. I was overwhelmed.

You even gave me the strength to finish this book. Without you, it would be nothing. I tell of stupid, corrupt little devils. I portray a despicable society so



that the youth is red with shame at having been cheated by these people - and that this redness turns into a roar of anger.

Olivia

What was left of the real countryside had gathered **in this teenager adopted and raised both in a Sacred Heart boarding school and by a veterinarian who had quickly turned her into a perverse filly ready for any excess.**

(...) **The bottom line is that Olivia was both a victim and a willing participant - and of course fresh and a perfect whore at the same time.** This was the fantasy she had been promoting for at least fifteen years to handsome men who used her extensively. This is how **Fautrier** made her his model before taking her as his companion, as it should be. By voyeurism, already seeking to create his multiple originals, his pictorial process, **he forced her to ardesser small matrimonial ads in the *French hunter*. Receiving a hundred or so suitors a week, she was worth all the girls in the Rue Saint Denis, especially since the painter hid behind a large mirror above the fireplace and invited his friends to attend the romps.** It was always the same gang, among whom **Jean Paulhan**, the director of the NRF, the Italian poet **Ungaretti**, **Dominique Aury** and sometimes the philosopher **Georges Bataille** who came back in a kind of amorous pilgrimage. (...) **She pretended to have become mute - and had sunk into absolute silence since she had been raped.** (...)

The first time I took her, she whispered in my ear that I was not alone and immediately after the orgasm, that she would release herself for me. **Thus began our adventure after making love to her in front of a bunch of old voyeurs.** She gave me an appointment in the house of the disused guard, at the end of the park. (...) This time it was Lady Chatterley's lover by D.H. Lawrence. I was not the green-eyed gamekeeper, Fautrier was not a quadriplegic, but an alcoholic painter with glowing skin and a white-haired devil face. I was proud to have snatched Olivia from her master whose art I appreciated. He had taken Olivia hostage. I had broken into the caravanserai where I in turn had become a hostage to his waiting. We were all hostages to a series whose final signature would be Fautrier's. Hence the series of his most famous paintings under that name. In fact, he took everything that passed before his eyes as a hostage. In his large, dilapidated house, with its many corners, he had a number of baby carriages filled with celluloid dolls. Thus he took simulated childhood hostage, an old cat making excrements on embers. He ended up in ashes - and his knife painting shrank as he went along, until it showed ugly cracks for the eternity he had been promised.

(...)

I had been on the verge of making the truth triumph, and now I was stuck in the uncertain. It was the eternal return of my nightmarish past. When would I be done? This was the battle of too many. »

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



The Powers of Evil - Jean-Edern Hallier (Editions du Rocher / Les Belles Lettres - 1996)

About Fautrier

« Jean Fautrier was first raised by his Irish grandmother, whom he adored. After the death of his father, then of his mother in 1907, his mother took him to London. He was admitted to the Royal Academy in 1912 where his teacher was **Walter Sickert**.

He was arrested by the German Gestapo in January 1943 in his studio. **He was freed thanks to the intervention of the sculptor Arno Breker, at the request of Jean Paulhan**, with whom he was very close, as well as with René Char, Robert Ganzo, Francis Ponge and Paul Éluard, whose works he illustrated. He went to Chamonix, then back to Paris, and found refuge in Châtenay-Malabry in the Tour Velléda, **an isolated pavilion of the psychiatric clinic of Doctor Henri Le Savoureux** (https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Henri_Le_Savoureux) **in the Vallée-aux-Loups**. **A hundred meters from his studio, the Gestapo and the carlingue come to drop off tortured bodies at night or shoot Resistance fighters at a place called « L'Orme mort »**. He began to paint his series of Hostages, a series of paintings in which a simple round white impasto is surrounded by a green background, a simple red line seeming to form a nose, a swollen eye or wounds. »

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Jean_Fautrier

About Walter Sickert

« Walter Richard Sickert, born 31 May 1860 in Munich, Bavaria, and died 22 January 1942 in Bath, England, was a British post-impressionist painter. Sickert was an artist who favoured popular subjects and scenes in his paintings.

Sickert's name has been associated with Jack the Ripper. The painter himself was interested in the crimes of the serial killer and believed that he had lived in the same dwelling as him, based on the claims of his landlady who suspected a previous tenant. He painted the bedroom of this dwelling, a dark, foreboding, almost confusing space, and entitled it Jack the Ripper's bedroom. The painting is in the Manchester Art Gallery.

In 1976, Stephen Knight's book Jack the Ripper: The Final Solution claimed that Sickert had been forced to take part as an accomplice in the Ripper murders. This was part of the conspiracy theory that a member of the royal family was the killer. Jean Overton Fuller, in Sickert and the Ripper Crimes (1990), considered Sickert to be the murderer, not an accomplice. In 2002, Patricia Cornwell, in Jack the Ripper: Case Closed - Portrait of a Killer, also presented the case for Sickert's guilt. In 2004, the Oxford Dictionary of National Biography, in its article on Sickert, refuted these theories as 'fantasies. »

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Walter_Sickert

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Walter_Sickert

<https://www.tate.org.uk/art/artworks/sickert-queen-victoria-and-her-great-grandson-102670>

<https://en.wahooart.com/@/8XZ6LM-Walter-Richard-Sickert-Queen-Victoria-and-her-great-grandson>

About Arno Becker who has Jean Paulhan release Fautrier



Arno Breker, born on 19 July 1900 in Elberfeld, and died on 13 February 1991 in Düsseldorf, was a German sculptor.

He is best known for his public works produced in Germany during the Third Reich, where they were promoted by the Nazi authorities as the antithesis of "degenerate art". His best-known works are *The Party and the Army*, which framed the entrance to the main courtyard of the new Reich Chancellery, Adolf Hitler's home.

In 1937, abandoning the style of his youth, he was appointed professor at the Berlin School of Fine Arts, and was noticed by the Reich's Ministry of Propaganda, which awarded him several commissions. The Nazi regime then provided him with three large sculpture workshops in which dozens of practitioners¹ worked, including, during the war, French and Italian forced labourers, requested by Breker. The working conditions in these workshops were particularly harsh, but this was largely due to the brutality of Walter Hoffmann, the head of the workshops, a convinced Nazi (...)

He worked on the Germania project, the redevelopment of Berlin with the architect Albert Speer. Hitler considered Breker one of the artistic geniuses of the Third Reich.

(...)

He participated in an exhibition of his work at the Orangerie in occupied Paris in 1942. This exhibition was enthusiastically welcomed by intellectuals including Jean Cocteau.

Although Breker was not directly involved in the Nazi looting of France's artistic heritage, he nevertheless acquired works at extremely low prices. »

https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/Arno_Breker

Fautrier was freed thanks to the intervention of Arno Becker, a Nazi and a notorious collaborator. He had no reason to hide. **Arno Becker worked with Albert Speer. He was therefore close to Adolph Hitler and Joseph Goebbels.** It is strange to note that in the Assange case, as in the Jean-Edern Hallier case, the tracks are traced back to Mountbatten-Windsor, the Nazis, paedocriminals networks and the enslavement of human beings.

We recall that Jean Edern Hallier died in troubled circumstances, that he accused Roland Dumas of having ordered his death, that his brother filed a complaint for homicide : « *I did not know that his damned soul had been the sponsor of a murder of which I was to be the victim. I am referring to Roland Dumas, the current President of the Constitutional Council, the keystone of French institutions* ». (Cf. *The Powers of Evil / Jean Edern Hallier*). In his other book, *The lost honour of François Mitterrand*, Jean-Edern Hallier reveals **François Mitterrand's past as a collaborator (he was awarded the Francisque) and his dubious links with members of the terrorist organisation, close to Nazism, known as the « Cagoule ».**

He accuses François Mitterrand of paedocriminality : « *Yet it will not be for lack of having disguised himself as a miller, to bring back his strawberries, or as a minor, to satisfy his paedophilic instincts deep in the black guts* ». It should be remembered that **Frédéric Mitterrand**, François Mitterrand's nephew, is also suspected of pedophilia. It should be remembered that **Jack Lang** was incriminated in the so-called « **Corral** » affair (<https://fr.wikipedia.org/wiki/>



Affaire_du_Coral). Jean Edern Hallier calls Jack Lang a pedocrat and Pierre Berger a madam : « *Behind Mitterrand, Roland Dumas, the shadow, Badinter, the yellowish sycophant and his sub-human, Kiejman, Charasse the coprophage, Lang, the pedocrat, Bergé, the madam, Fabius the contaminated vampire, Hanin, the dirty Navarro, Joxe, the controller of basic tasks, Rousselet, the cash caddy, Hernu, the comic trouper, Bérégovoy, the good proletarian, and Attali, the relic dealer. There were thirteen to the dozen to make a bonus, the Solutré gang* ».

About Frédéric Mitterrand

<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/8296578.stm>

<http://www.francoissaurel.com/laffaire-matzneff/>

<https://pedopolis.com/category/les-personnes/frederic-mitterrand/>

<https://lenfanceaucoeur.org/violences-sexuelles-faites-aux-enfants-et-pedocriminalite-en-france/>

https://www.maitre-eolas.fr/post/2009/10/08/P***-de-voyage-!

<https://www.valeursactuelles.com/clubvaleurs/societe/la-gauche-et-la-pedophilie-une-histoire-monstrueuse/>

« The Majorelle affair broke out in May 2011, in a climate conducive to shock revelations, following the Sofitel affair with Dominique Strauss-Kahn. Luc Ferry accused a French minister -without naming names- of having committed acts of paedophilia in Morocco: "a former minister got drunk in Marrakech during an orgy with little boys".

For many, the doubt is on Jack Lang, former Minister of Culture. The latter replied subito presto in order to "break" the ambiguity around him and declared to the press that "all those - newspapers and people - who question my honour will be prosecuted". However, Luc Ferry's statement awakened the memories of a France Télévisions journalist going back to the '2000s'. He told the investigators that he had seen in the past a report announcing the arrest of Jack Lang in a police station in Marrakech "in a riad [...] with young minors aged 15". However, an obstacle appeared: the dispatch in question had suddenly disappeared, which surprised the journalist who swore he had read it.

At the end of the investigation, more than a year later, Pierre Bergé, Yves Saint Laurent and the Villa Majorelle appeared in a report published by the newspaper VSD. A French priest warned of cases of « prostitution of minors at the Villa Majorelle » and that "in the Medina, parents of young victims have confided in [him]". Bergé then categorically denied these allegations, yet one of his statements remains very ambiguous: « Morals were freer than today, especially in Morocco. At the time, sexuality was more unbridled, we didn't pay as much attention to it" on the show. Astonishing! »

<https://moroccomail.fr/2021/01/14/maroc-les-secrets-du-jardin-majorelle/>

<http://moroccomail.fr/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/Marrakech-lenquete-impossible.pdf>

We recall that Jean-Edern Hallier described the Elysée as a filthy brothel : « *The Elysée had become a filthy brothel for the children of Madame Claude and the Republic. The laws that govern it are not*



those of love but of prostitution. Either Mitterrand is the boss, and he makes money: it is even amazing to see how he proceeded to exploit his followers, his family or his former mistresses, some of whom took advantage of this flower of goodness. Sorry, this dirty blue flower. Ugh, the imperial violet! The headquarters of the Socialist Party in Solferino is the new park where mysterious attachés are engaged in tasks as indefinable as their functions. Or Mitterrand remains a client by playing the romantic voyeur, a vulgar version of Jean Genet's screens. It is the cellar that pays, but with the state's money. » (The lost honour of François Mitterrand - Jean Edern Hallier / Editions du Rocher les Belles Lettres - 1996). **He accuses Mitterrand of being « the godfather of a double network in which nepotism and secret society are inextricably intertwined, and around which servants and blackmailers are clustered. Some have him because they have looked through the keyhole, others because they have files »** (The lost honour of François Mitterrand - Jean Edern Hallier / Editions du Rocher les Belles Lettres - 1996). **Of Mitterrand, he finally says: « Mitterrand is a monster who should have been executed at the Liberation for his collaboration with the Nazis »** (The Powers of Evil - Jean-Edern Hallier / Editions du Rocher / Les Belles Lettres - 1996) .

A strange element finally links the Assange case to the Hallier case. In his book *The Lost Honor of François Mitterrand*, Jean-Edern Hallier mentions his secretary named **J.A.** : « *I used to go there accompanied at a distance by my assistant J.A.* » and further on, a second allusion, « *I asked J.A. to warn Agence France Presse, and the Police, if I was not back by midnight* ». In his book, *Power of Evil*, he mentions an assistant named Omar. This J.A. is perhaps only a subterfuge of journalist employed to protect a collaborator. However, in view of some elements of the story-telling of the life of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange - *He would have created Wikileaks in France in collaboration with Le Monde. He would have a girlfriend and a child in France. He would have stayed in France* - and according to one of our informants - *The one we see in the media would be called Julien. He would be French and French-speaking. He would have a half-sister who looks like him. There would be a team of Assange; which is possible since Julian Assange is not a birth name but a concept name, one entity.* »- it seems important to us to open an investigation to determine the identity of this **J.A.**, who, in view of his nature of assistant of Jean Edern Hallier can be in danger or be the object of an abusive sequestration. Assistants are often the custodians of sensitive information. **Especially since Jean-Edern Hallier claims that he managed to obtain a complete list of the members of the Cagoule.**

We ask the sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Universal Declaration of the Rights of the Child, to kindly initiate an investigation to determine what are the first and last names of the secretary, J.A., of Jean Edern Hallier, his birth name, to make sure that he is not sequestered in secret or dead.

Once again, we pray the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code, to open an investigation to determine the name and birthplace of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax, aka... and to determine what kind of entity may be hiding behind the generic name Julian Assange, or Julian Paul Assange or J.A. and whether the J.A. of whom Jean Edern

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



Hallier speaks could be the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. One of our informants told us that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange would be french, would have lived on French soil and would be French-speaking. He told us about a Julien and a Michel Assange. He told us that when he was young Julian Assange would have sung on radio stations and that he would have injured his head when he hit the window of a bus. The same informant told us « *Assange has started a revolution. He couldn't send people to their deaths* ».

We ask the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code, to open an investigation to determine if there is a link between Jean-Edern Hallier and the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange because both denounce, by taking similar risks, crimes of the same order. Both denounce pedocriminality, Pizza gate and Eipstein for one, Pierre Berger, Mitterrand and Jack lang for the other. However, there are links between Jeffrey Eipstein, Jack Lang and Pierre Berger.

<https://www.marianne.net/politique/gauche/pour-financer-un-film-jack-lang-justifie-un-don-de-jeffrey-epstein-a-une-association-geree-par-ses-proches>

<https://www.estrepublicain.fr/faits-divers-justice/2020/10/14/don-d-epstein-en-france-jack-lang-affirme-que-c-etait-pour-un-film>

<https://www.valeursactuelles.com/monde/le-pedocriminel-jeffrey-epstein-a-finance-une-mysterieuse-association-liee-a-jack-lang/>

<https://www.egaliteetreconciliation.fr/Pierre-Berge-et-Jeffrey-Epstein-la-connexion-revelee-par-Faits-Documents-57484.html>

<http://www.nouvelordremondial.info/jeffrey-epstein>

<https://blogs.mediapart.fr/jacques-delivre/blog/300121/tout-le-monde-savait-ou-lart-du-masque>

« While they get rich from the world's misery, the billionaires activate the Epstein-style networks. They have no qualms about raping underage girls in cellars, passing them bowls to feed them, and lugging them from Miami to the 16th arrondissement of Paris. Thus, this testimony from the actor **Christophe Lambert**. When the journalist asks him if he is not « a bit paranoid » when he talks about these paedophile networks, he replies: « *No, not at all! Examples abound: it's like when you find girls in cellars who are given bowls like dogs, who are beaten, who are drugged; or the little one who is sold at auction on the dark Web, and sites like Silk Road where you can find everything you want and which often take years to close!* » »

<https://www.pensezbibi.com/tag/eric-woerth>

We ask the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code, to open an investigation to determine the circumstances of Jean-Edern Hallier's death and ensure that his death was not a crime.

We ask the judicial institutions of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code, to open an investigation to determine if, as Jean Edern Hallier himself claims, **Roland Dumas** could have ordered his death.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



In the Assange case, the investigations led our delegates to be in contact with citizens in great suffering who seem to be prisoners or hostages of a mafia system that instrumentalises and exploits them. We tried to decode all their cries for help and distress signals. We are doing our best to keep in touch with them. We are aware that it will be difficult for all of them to testify in the open until a first breach has been made to expose part of the mafia system. As we are aware that the lack of a birth name and social status, leading to a slavery against which it is difficult for them to fight, and the fear of reprisals, makes it difficult for them to testify, we have decided that we will give them the opportunity to testify under names they choose.

JULIANASSANGE.COM, once told us that we should forgive those he or she calls « *stolen orphans* » because they have been forced to commit many crimes. As far as WJJA is concerned, the members of the association believe that the UN, the governments and judicial bodies of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Nuremberg Code, every citizen of the world, themselves, are accountable for their « stolen lives » to these « stolen orphans ». Indeed, it is the duty of parents, of every citizen or institution in their country of birth to protect children, to allow them to develop their physical and mental capacities in a benevolent, tolerant climate, without any discrimination, to inculcate in them respect for themselves and for others, to teach them to distinguish between right and wrong. If « stolen orphans » could be raised, instrumentalised, exploited, abused by entities under the governance of the global Parakratos as defined in our complaint 1, it means that the institutions of the sovereign countries in charge of these children have failed, or worse, perhaps, have participated in the trafficking of which they may have been the victims, that the citizens of these countries have not been sufficiently vigilant in protecting these children or in responding to their calls for help. It is important to understand why and how the child protection system, the judicial system, the institutions and the governmental bodies of the incriminated sovereign countries allowed children to be born without identity, without nationality, without legal parenthood. It is important to initiate investigations to determine whether the child protection system, the judicial system, institutions and governmental bodies of the incriminated sovereign countries have been, and still are, involved in the trafficking of children or human being. Children conditioned by a mafia system of this kind cannot develop properly and present more or less serious physical and mental pathologies.

During Operation Covid 19, institutions and citizens did not object to the torture of even very young children through masking and confinement. Some children will never recover from this torture. They suffer from pantophobia, cognitive disorders, learning difficulties, and anxiety which, for some of them, has led to suicide. They are the first victims of the psychological weapons used during Operation Covid 19. Their immune defences, breathing capacities, articulate language, neurons and ability to construct reasoning have been damaged and no one can yet determine whether this physical and psychological damage will be reversible. For every citizen, protecting a child, children, without discrimination is a civic, moral, religious and judicial duty. A society that does not protect its elders and children is a criminal and suicidal society on the verge of annihilation. A society that does not protect the most vulnerable is not a civilised society. It is



similar to the Nazi society that euthanised the mentally and physically handicapped, schizophrenics, homosexuals, jews, « invalid children » and what it called « useless mouths ».

« Professor **Werner Heyde** was one of the four men whose trial for mass murder Bauer was painstakingly preparing in 1963. Before his association with Hitler's murderers, he had been a respected Bavarian neurologist. Of all the infamous murderous doctors of the Third Reich, Heyde was probably the one who had sunk deepest into the Nazi backwater. **What Mengele was to Auschwitz, Professor Heyde was to the euthanasia programme.**

The « miserable killings » of the mentally ill had been going on for some time, but it was in the summer of 1941 that an attempt was made to extend the euthanasia programme to Jews. Three hundred inmates of the Dachau concentration camp were then sent to the nearby **Bernberg Institute** for the mentally retarded, where they were 'put to sleep' by lethal injections.

These unfortunate prisoners had been selected by a medical commission composed of Dr **Fritz Mennke**, one of the doctors attached to the Dachau camp, Professor **Nitsche**, one of the specialists in euthanasia, and **Dr Heyd**. At the time, he was head of the neurosurgical clinic at the medical faculty of the University of Würzburg and director of an SS hospital in the same city. But, recommended by **Bouhler** as a « clean, solid individual », (ein saubere, gediengere Persönlichkeit) he still found time to be the « medical director » of the **"T4" programme, the discreet name of the euthanasia programme**. Energetically implemented by Heyde (and sometimes in spite of the opposition of a few courageous colleagues, revolted by the real scope of the programme) the « merciful killing » of the Jews began to be institutionalised at the end of 1941. After that, a quartet of pseudo-psychiatrists circulated from camp to camp, pretending to select "mentally disturbed internees;" - invariably perfectly sane Jews - to be « put to sleep » in « asylums » in **Bernburg, Hartheim, Sonnenstein, Hadamar, Egflind-Harr, Andernach, Grafeneck, Brandenburg, and twenty-two other former « health homes » chosen to house this scientific parody for the benefit of the Final Solution**. The « psychiatrists » performed their duties publicly, sitting at tables set up for them in the camps, in the middle of the barracks. **They chose the unfortunate Jews at their whim for the fatal injection or the deadly liminal pill in one of the « hospitals » set up by a fictitious organisation called « Public Utility Foundation for Hospital Care ».**

As soon as the programme started, Professor Heyde took over the leadership of the travelling Arztekommission (Medical Commission) of four "specialists". Like a death dealer, he appeared successively in Dachau, Sachsenhausen, Buchenwald, Mauthausen, Auschwitz, Flössenburg, Gross-Rosen, Neuengamme and Niederhagen, sending tens of thousands of Jews to their deaths simply because they were Jews. **Their 'merciful killing' was meticulously justified - for bureaucratic order and**



the appearance of legality prevailed in this huge Teutonic insane asylum - by falsified certificates of insanity called 14F3 forms signed by Heyde. »

The 4th Reich - Ladislav Farago (Belfond Editions - 1974)

The expression « **stolen orphans** » used by @Assange led our delegates to ask many questions about the origins of these children. Indeed, orphans have no parents, so from whom are they stolen? From their family, uncles, aunts, cousins, grandparents ? From the state, which should make them wards of the nation ? And then, while investigating, they came across the expression « **orphaned parents** »: « *The most painful moments we experienced in Poland. We travelled thousands of kilometres through the countryside in order to meet the « orphaned » parents of a boy, a girl, sometimes even two children, who were taken away to be Germanised and have not been found since. (...) Thirty years after the end of the war, mothers are still looking for their children. Children are looking for their parents - and those who were lucky enough to find each other remain forever scarred.* (In the name of the Race- Marc Hillel / Clarissa Henri - Fayard Editions - 1975) » To be an orphan is to be deprived of one's parents, for a beehive it is to be deprived of its queen, but this deprivation is the result of chance, accident or crime. Stolen orphans' are children who have been taken over by criminals with the intention of using them, mistreating them, raping them, enslaving them and prostituting them within networks. If they are « stolen orphans », it is because their parents are still alive, like those who are still looking for their stolen children for reproduction in the Lebensborn by nazis, or because they are hostages of the mafia system that took their child. Criminals then use two-way blackmail, symmetrically as we say in mathematics. They get what they want from the child by using the parents as a means of pressure and blackmail, and conversely, they use the child or children to force the parents to submit to their diktat. It is an elementary mafia functioning that seems today to have been generalised to its institutions via the Parakratos defined in complaint 1. It remains to be seen to what degree of corruption and crime they have reached.

« Gather a thousand girls. Isolate them in a camp. Force them to unite with a hundred German boys. With a hundred such camps, you'll get a purebred generation of a hundred thousand children in one fell swoop. »

Doctor **Willibaud Hentschel - Der Hammer** / National Socialist propaganda journal, published in Berlin - Vol. 640.
p17 - (In the book In the name of the Race- Marc Hillel / Clarissa Henri - Fayard Editions - 1975)

How many children could have been brought into the world in Lebensborns or stolen from their parents knowing that according to **Marc Hillel** and **Clarissa Henri**, **Heinrich Himmler** « *made a point of populating Germany with 120 million Nordic Germans before 1980* » and that Dr. **Gregor Ebner**, « **specialist in racial selection issues** », thus **eugenicist**, claimed that « *thanks to the Lebensborns, they would possess, within thirty years, six hundred more regiments* ». How many children could have been stolen by the Parakratos defined in complaint 1 and placed in Lebensborn or slave camps?



« 1936. The first Lebensborn homes, now under the supervision of the SS High Command, are in the process of being reorganised. Each home was now served by a doctor who acted as director, a head nurse, an administrator and a secretary, all members of the SS. They were placed under the orders of the SS Pfaum (who was succeeded by Max Sollmann in 1940) who directed the Central. In each home, the director was the master after Pflaum and Himmler. He was also responsible for the state of the prison, the supplies, the order and the files to which no one else had access. »

*The name of the Race- Marc Hillel / Clarissa Henri -
Fayard Editions - 1975*

The Nazis organised the kidnapping of young children, organised kidnapping, perpetrated by these nurses in brown uniforms who according to the testimonies were frightening and whom the Poles called, still call, « **the brown sisters of the SS** ». Before stealing the children, physiognomists (**Physionomische Brigade**), trained in the criteria for selecting physiognomies compatible with the improvement of what the Nazis called the « German race », carried out a pre-selection, sometimes several days in advance. « *Like the actual kidnappers, the ones who did the work after the child was found, the "brown sisters" had to undergo special training. During these intensive courses, they were taught, in addition to the complete list of racial criteria defining the Nordic and the others, how to spot a child but also how to seize it in the street, at home, at school. These courses were organised by a special service of the RuSHA or the Gestapo in Berlin even before hostilities broke out* » (The name of the Race - Marc Hillel / Clarissa Henri - Fayard Editions - 1975). Once the child was in the hands of the Nazis, he or she was subjected to psychological manipulation aimed at making him or her forget, or even hate, his or her parents. Sometimes he was also made to believe that they were dead, not hesitating to show him dead bodies to convince him of this. The aim of this manipulation was to create in him or her an inferiority complex linked to his sub-origin so that he would develop a feeling of recognition for those who were now taking care of him, a bit like the dogs that are taken in on the street or a Dark Place prisoner with the person who plays the role of the kindly executioner. Many Nazi services were involved in the kidnapping of children. One of the biggest sorting centres for stolen children is in **Kalish**, Poland.

« The **VOMI (Office for the Repatriation of ethnic Germans)** which was actually responsible for deportations, forced evacuations, kidnapping and forced labour. VOMI had its own transit camps and worked closely with the lebensborn.

The **NSV**, the famous organisation for the welfare of the German people, which generally looked after older children than those entrusted to the Office L. but for the same purpose.

The **Central Security Office of the SS**, the **RSHA**, which was also responsible for "taking care" of elements dangerous to the Reich; in other words, the extermination of Jews, Poles and undesirable Russians.



The **RuSHA, Office of Race and Settlement**, in charge of racial examinations, usually decided which children were to be kidnapped or exterminated. **The RuSHA also designated candidates for the concentration camp, sterilisation and abortion.**

The **Reich Commissariat for the Coordination of the Germanic Race**, known as the **RKFDV**.

There is some evidence of Wehrmacht involvement in child roundups in Eastern Europe. This evidence is gathered in the documents of the major trials at Nuremberg. There is mention of the arrest of forty to fifty thousand children by the army of the Centre, of more than fifty thousand children prayed in Ruthenia (Ukrainian Hungary - They were between ten and thirteen years old and their kidnapping is between 1943 and 1944. Some of them could be recovered after the war. Some had been placed in Napolas - Hitler's political schools - others in SS families) and brought back to Germany from the « **Hay** » and « **Gypsy Baron** » actions (code name for the abduction of one hundred thousand Polish youths who were enslaved in Germany) and from the evacuation of Zamosc, which will be discussed later. Moreover, a number of children - now adults and returned to their country - are formal: they were brought to Germany by the Wehrmacht. This involvement of the army in the recovery of 'valuable' children, either to become Germans or to become slaves, has been noted only to better measure the extent to which measures to seize other peoples' children in order to continuously reduce their biological potential were taken - and could have been taken with the establishment of the Nazi peace.

(...)

Sollmann met much more often than usual with his main advisers: **Tesch, Ebner, Viermetz**, and his representative in Poznan, **Bartel**. It was decided, with the agreement of the SS Reichsführer, **that the organisation would preferably take care of children up to the age of six.** The reason is easy to understand.

Whether Polish, Russian or Yugoslav, they were more receptive to the teaching of Nazi Weltanschauung than the older children in the NSV and VOMI. **Moreover, the absence of memories allowed the legal officer, Dr Tesch, to carry out an uncomplicated identity falsification.**

(...)

Once the child had been kidnapped, he or she was usually given a new Germanic name upon arrival at the transit centre.

(...)

Priests, nuns, invalid children, when they were not exterminated in concentration camps, were driven out of the region, sent, by force, to areas reserved for slaves.



The methods of Germanisation were based, as for the children of directed reproduction, on Nazi racial theories. It was no longer a matter of selecting the father and mother before encouraging them to mate, but of selecting an already existing subject, the child. Doctors specialised in « race knowledge », all members of the SS or the police, were in charge of racial examinations in the collection centres. The most important of these centres, capable of **selecting and receiving several hundred children per day**, were located in the cities of **Poznan, Pushkau, Bruckau, Kalish, Bad Polzin** (a very active Lebensborn home - Pomerania home which also served as a maternity home) and in the **Gostyn region**.

But all over Poland, and later in Russia, trapping stations were hastily set up, often with the help of the Wehrmacht. At the end of the racial exmen where, as for the SS magazines, the skull, the torso, the legs, the arms, the pelvis for the girls, the penis for the boys were measured... the children were divided into three groups:

- I. Children representing a desirable population increase
- II. Children representing a tolerable increase
- III. Unwanted children

This selection took place, and was to take place every year in all occupied territories in order to separate racially useful children from useless ones as soon as possible. **More than two hundred thousand Polish children** were thus declared « racially useful » by the Race Service. The "useless", including the Jews who were not entitled to any selection, exceeded the incredible figure of **two million, of whom one million eight hundred thousand were under sixteen years of age**. About Poland Himmler said: "I imagine that we must ruthlessly carry out our colonisation programme, for the eastern provinces must become Germanic, populated by blond-haired Germans.

But before being sorted by Heize, Bartel, Viermetz, before being given a false birth certificate, before being presented to Ebner, chief distributor of new birth dates, before being offered to good but sterile Nazis by SS-Standartenführer Mas Sollmann in full regalia, these children had a family, a home.

*The name of the Race- Marc Hillel / Clarissa Henri -
Fayard Editions - 1975*

Nothing is more appropriate to the industrialization of child kidnapping and child rearing by the Nazis than the term « stolen orphans » of JULIANASSANGE.COM. No one fits the basic criteria for selecting the progenitors of the German race better than the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax. He has all the physical characteristics of children kidnapped in the East, in Russia, Poland, Ukraine by the Nazis for the Lebensborn. Like the children kidnapped by the Nazis, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka... has no birth name and no authentic birth certificate. In addition, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka... claimed during a hearing that his « DNA children » had been stolen. Now, we recall that three natural methods were in force in the Lebensborn: the so-called « **natural method**, » mother



daughters who came to get rid of a cumbersome burden; « **directed procreation** », breeding-type mating between two specimens with physical characteristics that could contribute to the « improvement of the race »; and finally the « **artificial method** », by artificial insemination, of which Dr. **Leonardo Conti** (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Leonardo_Conti), Minister of Health of the Third Reich, was a supporter. He says : « Artificial insemination will remove the psychological complex from the sexual experience. The process of procreation would thus be based on a mechanical thing without a soul. This process would be no more artificial than the present situation where healthy, vigorous women cannot give free rein to their desire for motherhood and must remain childless. (In The name of the Race- Marc Hillel / Clarissa Henri - Fayard Editions - 1975) ». We recall that the torture of children in the Family Cult where the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka... was allegedly raised was the same as in the Lebesborn. **Jan Wosczyk's** testimony in the book In the Name of the Race attests to this:

« Children arrived in large numbers every day. Those who were fit were kept in separate barracks; they were treated in a more or less tolerable way, especially compared to us. The prisoners came from everywhere. There were Russian, Czech, Belgian, French and even some black children, many German children, some Jews and especially a very large number of Poles. The half-litre of soup that was almost all we got to eat during the day contained an indefinable chemical poison, which caused burning in the stomach and intestines and kidney disease. We were swollen all over because of malnutrition and it affected the nervous system, in addition to the cold, the beatings, the exhausting work. Many were affected mentally. They were put to sleep at night in block 8, which had no doors or windows and where the thermometer fell below minus 20 degrees in winter.

The next morning, we had to break the frozen children's blocks with picks; they were broken into pieces and transported in small wagons to the Jewish cemetery which bordered the camp. We threw the body parts into a mass grave and then covered them with lime and earth. Sometimes whole bodies of children were thrown in there. On contact with the air, when the little bodies were still trying to breathe, the pit stirred like wheat in the wind. Then these children, half alive, would eventually suffocate and the earth would become quiet again. An average of 120 children out of three or four thousand died every day. Different deaths: cold, beatings, hanging, shooting. Those who survived and remember, know that they experienced the full range of what can exist in the field of atrocities. »

*The name of the Race- Marc Hillel / Clarissa Henri -
Fayard Editions - 1975*

We recall that, like the Parakratos we defined in complaint 1, the Lebesborn Organisation was a state within a state, enjoying absolute autonomy in all areas, finance, administration, civil status. Birth certificates, identity documents, death certificates, everything was false and illegal, just as everything is false and illegal in the Assange case. It is one of the principles of Nazi management to put private entities in competition with each other and to stage false criminal proceedings to create

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



false jurisprudence on which to enact false illegal laws. We remind you that the breeding of children in the Lebensborn is a war tactic which is part of the construction of the Reich which is planned over a thousand years. The motto of **Wilhelm Reidiess** (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wilhelm_Rediess) is: « *The SS for a great Germany by the sword and by the cradle* ».

« In his public and private speeches, Hitler pinned his hopes on Germany's youth: the adults, the present generation, were old men with values and beliefs that were hostile to life, with harmful ideas. These generations are hardly amendable.

We have to wait for the new wheat to rise, for generations that have only known national socialism and its teachings. These generations will be composed of men who are no longer new, but regenerated, re-soaked in the ethos of their blood, familiarised from their earliest years with the values of their races. »

*The law of blood - Think and act like a Nazi / Johann Chapoutot
(Gallimard Editions 2014)*

Himmler gave himself until 1980 to regenerate the German blood through the Lebensborn. In 1980, Solidarinosc took hold in Poland. Today, however, it is known that people close to **Lech Valesa** are involved in paedocriminality. In 1981, Mitterrand, a collaborator with links to the Nazi-like terrorist organisation the Cagoule, was elected President of France. Mitterrand and some of his staff were suspected of belonging to paedocriminality networks. Mitterrand protected former collaborators. He testified on behalf of the spy **Henri Déricourt**, whose links with the **private spy organisation Z** and the Nazis are attested to by the testimony of resistance fighters.

We recall that many Nazi war criminals managed to escape justice by reaching South America with the help of the **Vatican**. Bishop **Alois Hudal**, also known as the « **Angel of Charity** » or the « **Nazi Red Button** », is said to have helped more than 50,000 Nazis to flee. It would thus have enabled the 4th Reich to develop. Father **Krunoslav Draganovic** also set up an escape network around the **Confraternity of San Girolamo**. Among these war criminals was **Martin Bormann**, one of the most powerful men in the Nazi state. All of Hitler's real estate in Germany and Austria, all of his works of art, his valuables, his priceless coin collection, were in Bormann's name. Among these war criminals was Martin Bormann, one of the most powerful men in the Nazi state. All of Hitler's real estate in Germany and Austria, all of his works of art, his valuables, his priceless coin collection, were in Bormann's name (*In the 4th Reich by Ladislav Farago - belfond Editions / 1974*). In view of Martin Bormann's powers within the Third Reich and his fortune preserved by the **Peron** couple, it is legitimate to wonder whether he was not the authentic Furher. However, he was not bothered and lived in Argentina and other South American countries without anyone trying to stop him. It was in South America that the Nazis reconstituted the Fourth Reich. It was in Chile that Dr **Paul Schäfer Schneider**, aka **Shaefer**, ran the **Colonia Dignidad** where many children were martyred.

It was in Argentina that the dictatorship, from 1976 to 1983, whose two most famous criminals were **Jorge Rafael Videla** and **Reynaldo Bignone**, genocided opponents and stole babies and legitimate children from families by changing their birth names in order to give them up for adoption. It is



legitimate to wonder about the participation of Nazi refugees in South America, organised as the Fourth Reich, in all these crimes.

It is legitimate to ask whether the Parakratos defined in complaint 1 does not act in coordination with the Nazi members of a 4th Reich, especially as regards the management of Lebensborn.

Therefore, we request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to investigate whether there is a link between the Parakratos defined in complaint 1 and a Nazi Fourth Reich reconstituted in South America. We recall that there are close links between the members of the Windsor-Mountbatten Brotherhood involved in the Parakratos defined in complaint 1 and the Nazis, notably by the **Hohenzollern**. We recall that the British and Americans did everything possible to prevent Polish parents from recovering their children stolen by the Nazis.

« **Two hundred thousand Polish children could not return to Poland because of the criminal attitude of the Germans and the British occupation authorities.** The Polish newspaper **Zycie Warszawy** writes on 11 June 1948:

Two hundred thousand Polish children could not return to Poland because of the criminal attitude of the Germans and the British occupation authorities. The Polish newspaper **Zycie Warszawy** writes on 11 June 1948:

Germany's invasion and occupation of Poland has claimed six million victims. But Poland's biological losses are not limited to this figure, not only because it is impossible to measure these losses with statistics, but also because **the Germans stole from the Polish nation more than two hundred thousand children in order to Germanise them.**

This figure of two thousand children includes children stolen in Poland by the « Office of Race and Settlement », as well as children born on the territory of the Reich to parents deported by forced labour. This figure of two hundred thousand is only a rough estimate. It is impossible to collect the documents of the murdered relatives, which were destroyed by the Germans before they left Poland. **Fortunately, some documents dealing with the stolen children, a crime which the court qualified as a crime against humanity, have been found. They are in the possession of the Polish authorities,** who for the past three years have been making every effort to have these children returned from Germany.

Results in view of abduction:

The Polish government is in possession of documents proving, for example, that five thousand children were taken in **Lodz**, three thousand in **Silesia**, five thousand in what the Germans called « **Watheland** », thirty thousand in the **Zamosc** district, and many, many more. There is also evidence that eight thousand Polish children are currently in Bavaria, six thousand in the province of Schleswing-Holstein, seven thousand in Lower Saxony, etc. The Polish Red Cross offices have collected forty



thousand birth certificates of Polish children born in Germany during the war. **The Polish authorities have so far managed to repatriate only twenty thousand children from the Russian zone and - incredibly - only six thousand from the British, American and French zones of occupation. This figure is only a small percentage of the children currently in West Germany.**

Why are so few children repatriated from western Germany? It is a matter of historical record that the occupying forces sent most of them to the West, within the Reich. There are great difficulties, but what exactly are they? First of all, there is the search for the children and the dishonesty of the Germans who do not declare to the occupation authorities that they are raising non-German children. There are even difficulties at the level of the children themselves, who, demoralised and furiously Germanised, deny their nationality...

The reason for the devil is elsewhere, it must be sought in the attitude of the English and American occupation authorities, especially the English. These authorities are not satisfied when the child is traced, when proof of his identity is provided and even when his parents (when they are alive) claim him. All this is not enough for them. They do their best to ensure that the child is not returned to Poland. When a lower court makes a positive decision, a higher court manages to overturn it.

The criminal attitude of the British:

The British nation, a nation with an old tradition of pioneers, which has organised centuries of imperialist conquests, is in urgent need of pioneers, the new pioneers of British Imperialism, and is trying everywhere to get them.

At the IRO Conference on 10 May 1948 in Geneva, it was decided that child tracing should end in July 1949. Children found after this date would be sent to the British colonies.

There is little hope that the British authorities will, in 1948-49, remove the obstacles encountered by Polish researchers so far. **In the light of the Geneva decisions and the attitude of the British authorities in Germany, it follows that the British not only tolerate the crimes of the Germans against humanity - crimes which consisted in robbing a nation of its children - but are now cooperating with them. Better still, they want to profit from them. »**

*The name of the Race- Marc Hillel / Clarissa Henri -
Fayard Editions - 1975*

It appears that the British government was involved in the disappearance of children stolen by the Nazis. We have shown in our Complaint 1 (see Complaint 1) that the British government, mainly through royal institutions, is involved in numerous abductions and deportations of children, notably to Australia, and in paedocriminal networks which may legitimately suggest that some of these



children are being trafficked. We have also shown that the Mountbatten-Windsor family has no legitimacy on the English throne and uses false names or fanciful titles that are not names of birth. The Nazis, too, acted under false names, especially in euthanasia facilities, and rebuilt their lives under multiple identities in South America. We have also shown that the Mountbatten-Windsors had links with the Nazis, whose ideology they shared. We have also shown that the Mountbatten-Windsors had links with the Nazis, whose ideology they shared, and that they were at the head of a global Parakratos via, among others, the City of London. It is therefore legitimate to assume that the Parakratos Mountbatten-Windsor has taken over the management of the Parakratos from the Nazi Lebensborns. As we have shown that the Assange trial is an illegal mock trial, similar to those held by the Nazis, it is legitimate to question the identity of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, aka... , the children whose assumed names are Gabriel and Max exposed by the citizen known as Stella Morris, aka Morriz, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smiths Robertson in the media and the identity of all individuals involved in the Assange case.

Therefore, we call upon the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the Charter of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to investigate whether Parakratos Mountbatten-Windsor took over the management of the Nazi Parakratos Lebensborn after the war, whether he was able to manage it on the sly during the war, either supervising its action or acting jointly with it.

We request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to investigate whether there are active Lebensborn, functioning as in the Nazi era as a state within a state and able to act in coordination with the Parakratos defined in complaint 1.

We request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to investigate whether there are active Lebensborn today, functioning as in the Nazi era i.e.i as a state within a state, and to acting in order of the World Parakratos defined in complaint 1.

We request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to investigate to trace the children stolen by the Nazis and ensure that they were not used for Lebensborn-type « human breeding » in South America, South Africa or other sovereign countries, that the children produced were not used as slaves and sold on a human market.

We request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to investigate to ensure that these stolen child lines have not been used for medical experiments or as research guinea pigs for laboratories. In several of his books, **Jacques Machodé Attali**, known as « the Man who whispers in the ear of presidents », adviser to **François Mitterrand** and **Emmanuel Macron**, asserts that the human being is going to become an object of everyday consumption: « *In the HyperEmpire, the Merchant Order will go so far as to dissociate reproduction and sexuality: sexuality will be the reign of pleasure, reproduction that of the machines. HyperMonitoring, self-*



monitoring, and then self-repair will provide for this: after having repaired the diseased organs, we will want to produce them and then create replacement bodies. Stem cell lines will be produced, without destroying the embryo, which will make gene therapy acceptable, then therapeutic cloning, then reproductive cloning. Finally, the human being will be manufactured as a custom-made artifact, in artificial wombs, to allow the brain to develop further with pre-selected characteristics.

The human being will then have become a commodity. (A brief history of the future - Jacques Attali - Fayard Editions 2006)» Knowing that Mitterrand is a notorious collaborator who received the francisque from the hands of Marshal Petain, that the word "order" repeated over and over again by Jacques Attali is a typical Nazi word and that the conception of Man as an object of consumption or a profitable tool is a Nazi conception of the management of the « human livestock », it is legitimate to wonder about the Nazi nature of the world Parakratos defined in complaint 1 and of the private entities which serve it. The breeding of children in clandestine Lebensborn after the war (1939-1945) would have allowed him to reconstitute a parallel army of slave-soldiers, slaves and objects of exexpression.

Therefore, we request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to investigate to determine, country by country, how many infants, children and adolescents have disappeared in suspicious circumstances and have never been found. We request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to draw up a list of these children and to issue search notices. We request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to create a website that any citizen of any country can visit to help identify their children.

Therefore, we request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Laws to open an investigation to determine whether the **Family Cult**, now known as **Santaniketan Parc** is a Lebensborn.

Therefore, we request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Law to investigate whether the children presented by the citizen known as Stella Morris, aka Morriz, aka Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant, aka Stella Smith Robertson as her children were not born in a Lebensborn and are not yet prisoners.

Consequently, we ask the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Laws to open an investigation to determine whether a Lebensborn network is not trafficking children (prostitution, medical experimentation, illegal adoption...) or instrumentalizing them to carry out terrorist actions. we ask the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Corpus of International Laws to open an investigation to

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



determine if scientific or medical experiments are carried out in its Lebesborn or if a breeding system with selection, insemination or artificial reproduction is practised there.

About Paul Schäfer Schneider, aka Shaefer

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Schäfer

<https://www.pedophilie-prevention.com/affaire-paul-schafer-schneider/>

<http://www.veroniquechemla.info/2020/03/colonia-dignidad-une-secte-allemande-au.html>

<https://latinoamericapiensa.com/colonia-dignidad-alemania-archivo-la-causa-contra-el-exmedico-complice-de-schafer/17115/>



Declaration of the Rights of the Child (1959)

This file contains the text of the Declaration of the Rights of the Child. This declaration was drafted by the UN Commission on Human Rights and adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations on 20 November 1959.

Preamble

Whereas the peoples of the United Nations have, in the Charter, reaffirmed their faith in fundamental human rights and in the dignity and worth of the human person, and have determined to promote social progress and better standards of life in larger freedom,

Whereas the United Nations has, in the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*, proclaimed that everyone is entitled to all the rights and freedoms set forth therein, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status,

Whereas the child, by reason of his physical and mental immaturity, needs special safeguards and care, including appropriate legal protection, before as well as after birth,

Whereas the need for such special safeguards has been stated in the *Geneva Declaration of the Rights of the Child of 1924*, and recognized in the *Universal Declaration of Human Rights* and in the statutes of specialized agencies and international organizations concerned with the welfare of children,

Whereas mankind owes to the child the best it has to give,

Now therefore,

The General Assembly

Proclaims this *Declaration of the Rights of the Child* to the end that he may have a happy childhood and enjoy for his own good and for the good of society the rights and freedoms herein set forth, and calls upon parents, upon men and women as individuals, and upon voluntary organizations, local authorities and national Governments to recognize these rights and strive for their observance by legislative and other measures progressively taken in accordance with the following principles:

Principle 1

The child shall enjoy all the rights set forth in this Declaration. Every child, without any exception whatsoever, shall be entitled to these rights, without distinction or discrimination on account of race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status, whether of himself or of his family.

Principle 2

The child shall enjoy special protection, and shall be given opportunities and facilities, by law and by other means, to enable him to develop physically, mentally, morally, spiritually and socially in a healthy and normal manner and in conditions of freedom and dignity. In the enactment of laws for this purpose, the best interests of the child shall be the paramount consideration.

Principle 3



The child shall be entitled from his birth to a name and a nationality.

Principle 4

The child shall enjoy the benefits of social security. He shall be entitled to grow and develop in health; to this end, special care and protection shall be provided both to him and to his mother, including adequate pre-natal and post-natal care. The child shall have the right to adequate nutrition, housing, recreation and medical services.

Principle 5

The child who is physically, mentally or socially handicapped shall be given the special treatment, education and care required by his particular condition.

Principle 6

The child, for the full and harmonious development of his personality, needs love and understanding. He shall, wherever possible, grow up in the care and under the responsibility of his parents, and, in any case, in an atmosphere of affection and of moral and material security; a child of tender years shall not, save in exceptional circumstances, be separated from his mother. Society and the public authorities shall have the duty to extend particular care to children without a family and to those without adequate means of support. Payment of State and other assistance towards the maintenance of children of large families is desirable.

Principle 7

The child is entitled to receive education, which shall be free and compulsory, at least in the elementary stages. He shall be given an education which will promote his general culture and enable him, on a basis of equal opportunity, to develop his abilities, his individual judgement, and his sense of moral and social responsibility, and to become a useful member of society.

The best interests of the child shall be the guiding principle of those responsible for his education and guidance; that responsibility lies in the first place with his parents.

The child shall have full opportunity for play and recreation, which should be directed to the same purposes as education; society and the public authorities shall endeavour to promote the enjoyment of this right.

Principle 8

The child shall in all circumstances be among the first to receive protection and relief.

Principle 9

The child shall be protected against all forms of neglect, cruelty and exploitation. He shall not be the subject of traffic, in any form.

The child shall not be admitted to employment before an appropriate minimum age; he shall in no case be caused or permitted to engage in any occupation or employment which would prejudice his health or education, or interfere with his physical, mental or moral development.

Principle 10

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



The child shall be protected from practices which may foster racial, religious and any other form of discrimination. He shall be brought up in a spirit of understanding, tolerance, friendship among peoples, peace and universal brotherhood, and in full consciousness that his energy and talents should be devoted to the service of his fellow men.

<http://www.cirp.org/library/ethics/UN-declaration/>

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



Testimony JULIANASSANGE.COM,

Our policy is to protect our sources and to work in accordance with our witnesses. All statements made by WWJA in this release request on JULIANASSANGE.COM have been submitted to him for approval. @Assange's testimony is free. It is our policy to allow witnesses to speak freely. We never edit their words. In the course of our investigations into the Assange case we have been in contact with individuals we believe to be hostages of the Parakratos defined in complaint 1. We believe that some of them may not have a birth name or may have been trapped in mafia networks since childhood. In their own way, they gave us messages and helped us to understand some aspects of the system set up by the Parakratos defined in complaint 1. By giving the floor to JULIANASSANGE.COM, we hope to be able to allow all the prisoners, all the hostages, all the stolen orphans victims of this mafia parakratos to testify in full light in order to put an end to all the traffic of human beings and children which gangrene our societies. These stolen orphans as JULIANASSANGE.COM calls them, guilty of crimes that some of them were forced to commit, are so many Spartakus that it is only time to free them. It will be up to the justice system and the citizens of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter to determine the degree of responsibility of each party, to understand who acted under the pressure of blackmail or threats, to understand which rat killed another to survive. It will be up to the justice system and the citizens of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter to determine who are the creators and masterminds of this mafia Parakratos and the mafia entities that serve it. Only the public and globally mediated proclamation of the truth will put an end to these crimes and trafficking in human beings. Only this globally mediated truth will allow a healing catharsis of the victims and witnesses of these crimes.

A conversation with the elusive General Sands

APOLOGIES FOR THE CAPITAL LETTERS, BUT I'M USING THEM SOLELY TO DIFFERENTIATE YOUR TEXT FROM MINE.

So here we go, together we will save Assange.

PERFECT

Making you appear in this first legal document is an important step because it will give you legal existence.

I ALREADY HAVE LEGAL EXISTENCE. MY BIRTH NAME IS CHRISTINE ANN SANDS. DOB 8/5/61. RENO, NEVADA, USA. I RECENTLY CHANGED IT AND WILL NOT REVEAL MY NEW NAME UNLESS IT INVOLVES MONEY, FAME & SEX WITH ASSANGE.

Same answer as for Assange. Your only legal identity can only be your birth identity. According to what you say, that birth identity is Christine Ann Sands. It takes a good reason to change your identity, and any change of identity is done for important reasons, except for agents who operate undercover. But the identities of undercover agents are fakes. A good police can trace the identity back to the source, i.e. the birth name of the offending agent.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



Any change of identity, regardless of the country concerned, must be subject to a legal procedure. If you changed your identity, you had to go through a legal procedure. If not, your identity has not changed, it is still your birth identity, which is Christine Ann Sands.

If your identity has been changed through a legal process, you can no longer call yourself Christine Ann Sands. It is no longer your legal identity.

Let me explain it again. The document in which you are going to appear is a legal document that is going to be given to the judicial authorities of different countries.

These judicial authorities will investigate you and they will not leave you any choice: they will look for and reveal your legal identity, that is to say your birth identity or, if necessary, your new identity which will have been subject to a legal procedure.

If we refer to the laws :

Your birth name is Christine Ann Sands. This is your legal identity.

or

You have legally changed your identity. Then Christine Ann Sands is no longer your legal identity. You can no longer use it. Your new legal identity is one that you do not want to reveal but that the law will compel you to reveal in a legal proceeding

Or

Your name is not Christine Ann Sands, you have not changed your identity and you do not have a birth or legal identity.

If you do not have a legal identity, i.e. a birth name, it is either because you have never been registered under a birth name with the authorities in your country, or Christine Ann Sands, like Julian Paul Assange, is a conceptual identity behind which there are several individuals with a legal birth identity or no birth identity and therefore no legal identity.

It is not for me to determine this, but for the judicial authorities conducting the investigation to find out. Since you are a witness in the Assange case and you want to save him, I just want to warn you that the judicial authorities will try to find out and reveal your identity.

Unless they already know it.

You will officially exist as @Assange or under the name under which you will choose to express yourself.

PLEASE USE CHRISTINE ANN SANDS SINCE I AM NOT ASSANGE.

I told you, you choose the identity under which you want to appear in this legal document. Christine Ann Sands is fine with me, although I prefer to call you Sands or General. To me, you are the elusive Sands!

I know you are not Assange. Julian Paul Assange, Julian Paul Hawkins is a conceptual name that could be translated as "operation destruction of justice, international law, human rights, the UN Charter and the UN »

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



Twice I saw a guy who was in a courtroom, but since the proceduring is a fake, it's impossible to determine his legal identity.

I think I saw the same person twice but we are not sure if it is always the same person who is present in the box or in the video link. The man our doctors observed at Woolwich Court on the first three days of the hearing showed signs of cachexia. After the Wednesday hearing, they did not rule out that he might die at the next hearing.

I only attended the Thursday hearing. The man I observed there all day was in good physical shape, wrote, got angry. Was this the same Man that was in the box on Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday? It will be up to the courts to determine this.

Assange is a concept materialized by an individual identifiable by certain distinctive physical signs. He is the showcase object of the Assange concept.

Story-telling always works on a dichotomy, good guys versus bad guys, but like in a movie, good guys and bad guys work hand in hand, it's the same team. On the Gilets Jaunes demonstrations, in France, they were breaking camp together and in the same place.

In the Assange concept, there is the good, embodied by a guy with white hair and light eyes. Maybe several. In the movie world, there are look-alikes and stand-ins. Filmed or photographed from some angles, the spectator sees nothing but fire. The Assange storytelling team presumably consists of the object in the window Assange, his defense staff and the very nasty Judge Barraitser who represents the Mountbatten-Windsor brotherhood and company. Officially, she represents the British justice but, in fact, she defends the interests of the very bad CIA by violating the English law and the international law. A little light as a scenario. It will therefore be up to the judiciary institutions to determine who belongs to the Assange team and what are the birth names, and therefore the legal names, of all those involved in the story-telling of this false case, coupled with a false trial. Assange is nobody. The Assange case and the Assange trial are hoaxes.

Info, found on the internet by a Gilet Jaune who sent it to WJJA:

« Operation Assange Intelligence Nobility Families: I remind that Assange (which is a code name) Asset (which means agent and angel)

The definition of asset, is defined as a basic resource to be displayed in a web browser. An asset can be associated with meta-data. So it's a play on words (code name) like the Angel of Meta-data, how many chances to have such a name (this last name does not exist) and become the cybermilitant of databases? Same for Snowden (Snow). »

We can list all your aka's if you want.

GENERAL SANDS

Moreover, having you appear in this first document, allows us to have you appear in the following ones, by involving you more and more in the procedure.

If you wish, we will can subsequently file a complaint on your behalf.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



I WON'T BE DOING ANY LEGAL PAPERWORK, BECAUSE I THINK COURTS ARE EVIL. I DO, HOWEVER, ENJOY PEOPLE TALKING ABOUT ME IN COURT IN THE MATTER OF ASSANGE, BECAUSE MAYBE I CAN HELP CORRECT MATTERS.

Including you in this procedure is a legitimisation of your existence, a first step towards legalisation.

I'M ALREADY LEGITIMATE AND LEGAL.

Since you say so. I simply note that you claim to be legitimate and legal without saying anything about your legal identity. The Sands concept can be legitimate and legal. It is up to the judiciary of sovereign countries respecting the UN Charter to confirm or deny this legality. It is up to the judiciary of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter to determine who is Sands or who is hiding behind Sands. Since you claim to be legitimate, you have nothing to fear if an international investigation of you is opened. If you are legitimate and legal, it is quite possible that these judicial bodies have already known who you are for a very long time. An open secret perhaps?

This is important to you. It's important to others.

Our aim is to enable citizens who may have been deprived of a birth name, or whose name has been changed, to be able to give legal testimony and to initiate proceedings to obtain a birth name or to recover the name that has been taken from them.

Being registered in a birth register is the first step towards freedom.

NONE OF THAT PERTAINS TO ME, BECAUSE MY OLD NAME IS ALREADY REGISTERED. I'M SURE ANY LAWYER WOULD BE ABLE TO FIND MY NEW NAME ATTACHED TO THE DISSOLUTION OF MY FORMER NAME. I'M JUST NOT ADVERTISING IT, BECAUSE I DESERVE TO SHAKE STALKERS OFF MY BACK.

The leaders of the Lebensborn kept illegal birth registers and changed the names of the children they stole. I fear that this is the case for a number of people in the world today including in some social circles such as the nobility and probably since George I. The Victoria-Kensington system is likely to have industrialised the process. Was it a Lebensborn stealing children?

JUST SO YOU KNOW, I'M NOT A LESBIAN.

You are answering the wrong question. I didn't say you were a lesbian. That's something I don't care about. In a democratic society, everyone leads his or her sexual life as he or she sees fit. As long as it's between consenting adults, nobody has to interfere. The only limits that should never be crossed are crimes, the enslavement of a partner, torture, rape and pedocriminality.

« *The Lebensborn (Lebensborn eingetragener Verein, in French "Association enregistrée Lebensborn") was an association in National Socialist Germany, sponsored by the state and managed by the SS, whose purpose was to accelerate the creation and development of a perfectly pure and dominant Aryan race. The term "Lebensborn" is a neologism formed from "Leben" ("life") and "Born" ("fountain", in old German).*

The program for the creation of the Lebensborns came into being on December 12, 1935 as part of the policy of eugenics and birth promotion. Supreme leader of the SS Heinrich Himmler was the creator of the program. »

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



In fact, the Lebensbor management was a kind of state within a state that registered children under false identities in unofficial birth records. If I told you about Lebensborn, it is because you told me, on several occasions, that Assange (Which one? Which ones? I guess it's the one that materializes the Assange concept) was raised and went through a lot of slave camps. The slave camps you describe sound like Lebensborn. But, I'm used to your pirouettes. When the question bothers you, you ignore it.

As we are a legal association for the defence of human rights, we act as a kind of moral guarantor and lawyer for you. In the eyes of all those who will receive the document, you will no longer be alone.

JESUS WAS ALWAYS WITH ME, BUT THANK YOU

We will represent and defend your interests without anyone might interfering between you and us.

JUST REMEMBER THAT IN THE STORY I'M QUEEN CHRISTINE, CHIEF JUSTICE OF NEW JERUSALEM SUPREME COURT. THEIR COURTS BELONG TO SATAN, AND MY COURT BELONGS TO GOD. I DON'T ENTER THEIR COURTS, BECAUSE GOD FORBIDS IT.

As a result, we will never go beyond what you wanted to say or do and we will not make public any exchanges we have in private without your agreement.

FEEL FREE TO BETRAY ME. IT HOLDS ME ACCOUNTABLE. I TOLD YOU AT THE VERY BEGINNING THAT EVERYTHING I SAY IS FAIR GAME. I NEVER CHANGED.

I don't betray you, I bring you to light. By associating you with this request for release, I am making sure that the judicial authorities of sovereign countries respectful of the UN Charter investigate you. Indeed, I engage your responsibility and put you in a position to be publicly accountable. It is up to you to assume this responsibility.

Our exchanges, in whatever form, concerning your involvement in a procedure, will take place only between you, me and Monika. The other members of WJJA, as well as all other citizens, institutions and media, will only have access to the information you wish to make public.

YOU DON'T HAVE TO SIFT THROUGH ANYTHING I SAID OR SAY. ALL I ASK IS THAT YOU GIVE ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO CLARIFY EVERYTHING, ESPECIALLY MY JOKES IN THE SANDS SHOW WHICH IS A COMEDY.

About the comedy, the dictionary Littré says this : « Play which is the representation, in action, of the characters and the manners of the men, and of ridiculous, pleasant or interesting incidents » and further « fact which excites the laughter ». The Assange hoax is indeed a comedy since it is a representation of the manners of the Men, a rather fanciful representation moreover since the actors have neither the psychologic complexity of the Men, nor their behavior. They lack soul. They sound false.

« A question of soul! If we believe that those we meet are devoid of souls, how can we give them substance in a story? Indeed, a character takes shape through words and evils, so he becomes matter in the heart of the text, but to shape this "unreal matter", antithetical by nature (ghostly?), the author must understand his soul, give it a breath of life through his own experience. It is thus by going back and forth from the spiritual to the material, from the real to the fictional, that a



character is built, that a reality is perceived, a reality that the author will transmute into a narrative reality. This narrative reality is in fact only an ingestion and an interpretation of the effective reality.

For one time, I'm going to share with you some of my work on the creation of fictional characters. This text excerpt is an analysis of a discussion about creating a fictional character with a French-American author. What blocks Julian Suaudeau, if I understand correctly, is that America, in his eyes, is only matter, but I fear that he denies America a soul. I am not far from joining him even if I think that this feeling of absence of soul, with regard to the conference, is in fact only the will to hold at a distance a soul guilty of having no, or little, conscience of its acts. "A cowboy turned Indian" is how he presents himself. It is a choice of soul. "I've gone astray." "I condoned the crime, unconsciously but I condoned it". "I then disowned it, but in disowning it I discovered that the one who committed it cannot have a soul since he has no consciousness of his crime, feels no guilt or remorse, and seeks no redemption." That's soullessness...the lack of awareness of one's actions, the lack of guilt, the indifference to crime. The American world evades tragic redemption. It confesses its crimes and forgets them. It throws them away like Kleenex. And it goes on committing the same crimes. Hence the sensation that everything is only matter, since there is no transcendence of divine order as in tragedy. But this matter has its own music, its smells, its rhythm and the darkness of its vacant soul is palpable, definable even. In English or in French. Of necessity. Every space, every human, vegetable, animal presence, every action, every gap is the materialization of a vital balance. And as this balance transcends man, it necessarily has a soul, whatever its nature. »

If I quote you this text, it is because the absence of soul that makes the characters of the Assange affair totally unbelievable. If an author does not create a soul for his characters, he is condemned to stage only ghosts, shadows, zombies.

Stella Morriz aka... is neither a loving companion nor a mother. Shipton does not behave like a father. Gabriel does not behave like a brother. As for Christine Ann Hawkins, she probably doesn't even know what the word mother means. None of the events are believable. The Assange hoax doesn't even hold up from a fictional point of view. As for the hero of the saga, the multi-awarded journalist, he spouts his text in a monotone manner, like an automaton, without any nuance in his intonations. He seems to take a malicious pleasure to chain together clichés on all subjects, from geopolitics to economics. Persecuted by the very nasty CIA, threatened with life imprisonment or even the death penalty, he seems, during most of his public appearances, to have no awareness of the gravity of his situation. Myth of the hero?! Clint Eastwood facing adversity with the impassivity of the just? He is neither credible as a journalist, nor as a hero. Neither is his pseudo girlfriend threatened with death by the CIA. You are right, the Assange affair is a farce.

But behind this farce lies the cruelty and illegality of an incommunicado detention, the torture inflicted on one man, and perhaps others, in defiance of international law, a mafia that has taken on the guise of innocent bling-bling royalty. Lying media, knowingly deceiving generous citizens into believing that a false trial is a legal procedure. There are people who die. The Assange show is not an innocent musical. It is a staging in the service of a scam.

So, I'm afraid you have more to do than explain the jokes of the Assange Comedy Club.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



What is said between the three of us, about you or about the Assange case, will remain between the three of us and only between the three of us because it will be a preliminary work to your testimony. In order to protect your interests, we must be able to think about this testimony together in peace. To determine together, if you agree of course, what you can say or do without putting yourself or people you love or are close to in danger.

I GET IT. THANK YOU. I CHANGED MY LEGAL NAME FROM CHRISTINE ANN SANDS TO AN UNDISCLOSED NAME, BECAUSE THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO WOULD LIKE TO SEE ME DEAD FOR PRODUCING THE JULIAN ASSANGE DOCUMENTARY. ALTHOUGH I AM NOT AFRAID FOR MYSELF, SOME PEOPLE I PERSONALLY KNOW ARE AFRAID FOR THEMSELVES.

All right, I won't repeat what I've already explained above. Once the document is sent to the courts, I have no control over anything. You know what you're exposing yourself to.

This is usually the approach of lawyers and human rights representatives who respect the rights and interests of the person(s) whose interests they are defending.

There is no question of you telling us everything. It's just important that we make sure that what you're going to say is in your interests and in the interests of the so-called Assange.

I hope I haven't been too long but I wanted to be as clear as possible with you.

YOU ARE PERFECT AS USUAL. THANK YOU.

To begin with, I have just three questions to ask you.

You say that you own domain names related to the Assange case, including the ones you mentioned in your email. Can you list all these domain names and can we publish them all in this 15th release request?

I OWNED OVER 200 INTERNET DOMAIN NAMES. I DROPPED MOST OF THEM, BECAUSE I DON'T NEED THEM FOR THE SHOW ANYMORE. SINCE I OWN JULIANASSANGE.COM & JULIANPAULASSANGE.COM, I THINK IT'S NEEDLESS TO MENTION THE REST OF THEM, AND SOME I WOULD REQUEST NOT BE MENTIONED.

Can we give your website address in this 15th release request?

<http://julianpaulassange.com>

PLEASE MENTION ONLY JULIANASSANGE.COM & JULIANPAULASSANGE.COM

I know you have many internet domains names but I'm only interested in are the ones about Wikileaks or Assange. These domain names are one of the first reason I became interested in you. Indeed, who is entitled to own domain names in the name of Wikileaks-Assange other than the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange or the person, or persons, who manage the Wikileaks-Assange trademark. This makes you a key figure in the Wikileaks-Assange construct. It is highly unlikely that you could have acquired these domain names after the Wikileaks-Assange operation began because those who created the Wikileaks-Assange entity must have registered most of the domain names before creating the trademark. This is what is usually done, especially for an operation of this scale. Nor has the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, his alleged father, known as John Shipton, or his alleged mother, known as Christin Ann Hawkins, ever taken any legal action to prevent you from using these domain names. Yet many people, some of whom know the citizen known as John Shipton, have come to tell us not to talk to you on the grounds that you are crazy and that you are

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



harming the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. None of these people, however, have filed a complaint against you for impersonation or defamation, at least as far as I know, which is what one would expect from a father or a mother, given that you claim that Julian Paul Assange is a CIA agent and that he was involved in the 9/11 attacks.

Let's imagine the following scenario. Let's say I am the wife and mother of the children of a certain Julian Paul Assange. I can prove it. There is a picture of me in front of Belmarsh where he is illegally imprisoned by the government of the very « perfidious Albion » which violates all the laws of international law, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the UN Charter. The man in my life, the father of my children, a multi-award winning journalist, a hero who risks his life to expose war crimes, is being dragged through the mud by one Christine Ann Sands who accuses him of being a CIA agent and of having participated in the 9/11 attacks, so to be a terrorist. This woman even tells everyone that she wants to have sex with my partner and that she is the only woman that the father of my children has fallen madly in love with. What must I do? As I am a normal woman belonging to the category of mere mortals and not to the great family of American soap opera characters, naturally, I file a complaint and make this unscrupulous person stop gossiping about the great heroic man who shares my life.

Or not ! No wife, even one who has been divorced for more than fifteen years, allows the reputation of her children's father to be tarnished, especially if that father is a human rights hero. What does Stella Morris, aka... the brave mother, do to protect the interests of the father of her children, the Man of her life? Nothing, absolutely nothing! What is John Shipton, aka... the courageous father, doing to protect his prodigious Nobel Prize nominee son? Nothing, absolutely nothing! What is Christine Ann Hawkins, aka... doing to save the life of her beloved son, the flesh of her flesh, the blood of her blood from a death that is repeatedly foretold? Nothing, absolutely nothing! She has disappeared. Like Cyaron O'Rellay, the lifelong friend, who went to look after his sick old mother. His plane must have crashed in the middle of the Bermuda Triangle because he never returned to help his great, great friend Assange. That's the big problem with the Assange Comedy Club characters is that they never behave like normal human beings. You can see why I mentioned the lack of soul above. No soul. No emotion. No emotion. No credibility. In live. Or, in fiction.

So Sands, in short, you speak as you please about the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. You accuse him of being a CIA agent, of being involved in 9/11, of being an orphan raised in the MKUltra lebensborn, of having sleepovers with Camellia and Charles, of having been placed in numerous slave camps but no one stops you. Not even Camellia and Charles who should have lodged a complaint for defamation against you. You know the proverb: « he or she who says nothing consents ». If Assange was a heroic journalist, human rights defender, my partner and the father of my children, I can tell you that I would have waged an all-out war on you until you stopped peddling what I thought was a pack of lies. Unless you can prove to me, and I mean prove to me, that the man who shares my life and has given me children is a bastard who leads a double life and plays to be a hero when he is involved in the deaths of thousands of people. Any woman would do the same as me but not Stella Morris aka... She ignores you but she does nothing to stop you from dragging his partner through the mud. In fact, she's doing everything she can to get the love of her life, the father of her children, out of the media spotlight when any political prisoner's

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



wife in danger of death would do the exact opposite. She would put his husband in the spotlight, talk only about him and demand at every public appearance that he be released immediately. Why does she want to take Assange's place on the media scene?

committing suicide? What wife, what mother, what father, what lawyer could say such monstrous things about a beloved man, a loving father, a heroic journalist admired by all for his determination to expose crimes and bring the truth to light, except his worst enemies, those who want him dead. A political prisoner does not commit suicide. He fights until the end for the cause he defends. A hostage does not commit suicide, he is at the mercy of those who hold him. A loving man does not commit suicide. He fights to survive with the hope of finally being able to hold the woman he loves in his arms. A father does not commit suicide. He does everything in his power to regain his place with his children, using each memory with them as a lever to lift him to freedom. Stella Morriz, aka... behaves like a hitman who stages his crime by making his victim look suicidal or like a black widow who, about to murder her wealthy husband, peddles to everyone that he is depressed and suicidal. The problem with the Assange Comedy Club characters is that they don't have any more credibility than the characters of the worst telenovelas. They behave as absurdly as the ones in the series *The Young and the Restless*. What to think of a woman who pretends to be a lawyer that she is not (she is not registered at the English bar and there is nothing to ensure that she has her diploma), who pretends to be the companion of a citizen who does not have a birth name, who claims to be the mother of his children, and then asserts that these children are not his (but whose children are they?

Where do they come from? Who are their parents? If Assange is not their father? Who is the father? Is Stella Morriz, aka... who has no birth name, their mother? What is the proof?), who makes the one she claims to be her companion look like a suicidal autistic-asperger bordering on the village idiot when he is supposed to be a brilliant journalist who can only be endowed with a hell of a morale and cold-bloodedness since he has declared war on the very nasty CIA. What woman, what father, what mother, what lawyer, what friend would discredit and ridicule a man illegally sequestered in secret and in mortal danger. No one! You know what I mean Sands, you who are a creative director. Absolutely not credible! Unbelievable! Pitiful! Abject!

For any series, a team of good writers creates a card for each character. This sheet is integrated into the bible of the series. It evolves as the story evolves to keep the character's psychological and narrative consistency credible. Perhaps the Assange Comedy Club's team of story-tellers should learn to work on their character sheets so as to avoid staging weathervanes who say everything and its opposite, do everything and its opposite, disappear, appear, reappear, and systematically act against the one they are supposed to defend.

A lawyer (she knows the laws, so plausible), sister of a top Australian police officer operating undercover (unverifiable), who knows John Shipton and the whole French Assange clique well, based in Switzerland, so presumably in contact with Christophe Peshoux and the very "efficient in the defense of Human Rights" OCHCR of the UN, also operating in Greece, told me one day that when a person suddenly disappeared, it was either that he was dead or that he was an undercover agent out of the loop.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



So, if we stick to the reasoning of this well-informed lawyer, acting under several identities on social networks, it is legitimate to think that the actors of the Assange affair are a team of agents (from the big or small family, depending on which point of view we place ourselves, agents of all agencies) acting undercover. So you would be right when you say that Assange is a CIA agent. Except that I would add, like Vladimir Putin, that it is the one who says it who is, because no one can say that another person belongs to the CIA except an agent of the CIA or of any other agency. I let you conclude!

The problem is that the CIA is only a showcase for MI6, worse, for the very secret entity Z.

I will embed the photo of Assange with Father Dave with this accompanying text:

I will embed the photo of Assange with Father Dave that I sent you with this accompanying text:

In this photo, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange shows all the signs of a man who is on the verge of collapse from the violence and repetition of the beating. Is this a torture session?

I DON'T THINK SO, BUT I COULD BE WRONG.

A beating under the guise of a boxing match?

PROBABLY NOT.

We recall that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is officially a journalist not a boxer. Was he willing to participate in this fight or was he forced to fight?

I THINK ASSANGE WANTED TO BOX. I SAW HIM SMILING WHILE HE WAS BOXING.

In any case, as the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange in the photo shows all the symptoms of a man in pain and near collapse from being beaten and the citizen known as Dave Smith, aka Father Dave, continues to beat him against a wall, we call upon the judiciary of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the corpus of international law to open an investigation to determine the birth name of Dave Smith and the nature of his relationship with the citizen named Julian Paul Assange.

We urge the judiciary of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the body of international law to investigate whether the citizen known as Dave Smith tortured the citizen Julian Paul Assange at 3 Hans Crescent Street and previously, as he claims to have known him for a long time.

SOUNDS GOOD TO ME

You told me two things about Father Dave in the course of our conversations: that he typed hard.

I DON'T RECALL SAYING HE TYPED HARD. I SAID HIS PRAYER FOR ASSANGE SUCKED AND I TOLD HIM SO.

And that he had married the man known as Assange to a certain Nicole, who was otherwise married.

NICOLE SAYS FATHER DAVE MARRIED HER TO ASSANGE. SHE SAYS SHE WASN'T MARRIED TO THE 300 POUND MAN IN THE US BUT THAT THEY WERE ONLY LIVING TOGETHER *LIKE* MAN AND WIFE

Can these two pieces of information be placed in the application for release? Can @Assange or whatever his name is assume them publicly?

I WROTE THESE. YOU CAN SHARE THEM ANYWHERE YOU LIKE:

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/797949087708545/>

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



<https://www.facebook.com/notes/288412515562843/>



In the request for publication 15, I will include the photo of Assange with Father Dave that I sent you with this accompanying text:

In this photo, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange shows all the signs of a man about to collapse under the violence and repetition of the beatings. Is this a torture session? A beating under the guise of a boxing match?

Let us remember that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is officially a journalist and not a boxer. Was he a volunteer for this fight or was he forced to fight?

Either way, given that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is being held incommunicado, held hostage, and in the photo is showing all the symptoms of a man in pain about to collapse after being beaten, and the citizen known as Dave Smith, aka Father Dave, continues to beat him by pushing it against a wall wall, we call upon the judiciary of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the corpus of international law to initiate an investigation to determine the birth name of Dave Smith and the nature of his relationship with the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange.

We urge the judiciary of sovereign countries respectful of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the corpus of international law to investigate whether the citizen known as Dave Smith,

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



aka Father Dave, may have tortured or participated in the torture of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange at 3 Hans Crescent Street and before, including during his childhood, as he claims to have known him for a long time.

You have told me two things about Father Dave in our conversations: that he hit hard

I DON'T RECALL SAYING HE HIT HARD

and that he had married the man known as Assange to a woman named Nicole, who was otherwise married.

ASSANGE NEVER MARRIED HER ANYWAY. SHE LIED. THE ONLY REASON I MENTIONED HER LIE IS BECAUSE SHE HAD OVER 40,000 FOLLOWERS AND WAS A CLOSE ASSOCIATE OF KEVIN ZEESE WHO RAN OCCUPY DC BEFORE HE DIED

She was therefore a bigamist and this marriage is illegal.

Can these two pieces of information be included in the application for release? Can @Assange or whatever name you choose assume them publicly?

Can he say more about Father Dave without putting himself or his loved ones at risk.

In general, delegates from human rights organisations can meet with detainees or at least their families. As in the Assange case, we are dealing with one or more hostages, it is difficult to have a precise idea of the risks they are taking.

Our main concern is to ensure that you take as little risk as possible.

LET US JUST BE CLEAR THAT THE SANDS SHOW COMEDY MORPHED INTO THE JULIAN ASSANGE DOCUMENTARY, AND THE DOCUMENTARY NEVER LOST THE SPIRIT OF COMEDY

If you agree with what I am saying in this email, I suggest that we think together about what it is important for you to say, insofar as you can say it, in this request for release to help free Assange.

If you agree with what I am saying in this email, I suggest that we think together about what it is important for you to say, insofar as you can say it, in this request for release to help free Assange.

We have had many conversations. We have said many things to each other. We have to try to get the gist of it.

I hope you are well.

Take care of yourself.

Véro

I HAVE TEN YEARS OF WRITING ABOUT THE CHARACTER JULIAN ASSANGE THAT COMBINES COMEDY AND THE DOCUMENTARY. THE AUDIENCE WILL NEED TO UNDERSTAND THAT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

XO

You still haven't answered Monika's question. Who is XO

Since that interview, our delegate Monika Karbowska has had a video conversation with Christine Ann Sands. She spoke with a woman.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



New Evidence Concerning the Torture of the Citizen Known as Julian Paul Assange



One of the doctors of the Human Rights Defense Association, WJJA, has three times written reports attesting to signs of torture by the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange. Our doctor wrote his reports on the basis of the observations he was able to make of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange during the hearings he was able to attend.

All their requests to the English authorities and Belmarsh prison to meet and examine the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange remained unanswered.

Medical reports Political prisoner Julian Paul Assange. Julian Assange is being tortured in England.

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/wjja-third-medical-report-julian-assange-being-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/wjja-second-medical-report-political-prisoner-julian-paul-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/rapport-médical-du-prisonnier-politique-julian-paul-par-véronique/>

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



The President of the Human Rights Defense Association, WJJA, also gave an account of the suffering of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange whom she faced during the hearing 13/01/2020.

Hearing 13012020: Face to face with Julian Assange

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/hearing-13012020-face-julian-assange-pidancet-barrière-véronique/>

<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/audience-du-13012020-face-à-avec-julian-assange-véronique/>

One informant sent a series of photos in good definition to the WJJA. In one of these photos, traces of the torture suffered by the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange are clearly visible.

In this photo, there is a large haematoma on the side of the hand. On the tip of the thumb there is another haematoma. There are also marks of blows on the phalanges of each finger as if the hand had been pinched in a door or hit with an object. The inside of the hand appears abnormally red.

In another photo, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange has a cigarette burn injury (see pic below).

The marks of blows and cigarette burn on these photos attest to the torture and make it possible to determine that it began at 3 Hans Crescent Street. In the photo where the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange has a cigarette burn, he is standing against a green background. This is the same green background in front of which he took photos with Eva Joly. Would Eva Joly be able to testify to other signs of torture since she was in contact with the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange during this period? Could he have confided in her? Based on these photographs, it is reasonable to assume that individuals who have been in contact with the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, either at 3 Hans Crescent Street or at Vaughan Smith's, have seen these or similar torture injuries and have witnessed strange behaviour due to the ingestion of drugs or psychotropic substances.

This torture could not have been carried out without the complicity of Ecuadorian government officials and the two presidents in office at the time of the events, Rafael Correa and Lenin Moreno.

Colombia, having premises adjoining those of Ecuador, its involvement in the incommunicado detention and torture inflicted on the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, also arises.

It is also legitimate to wonder about the nature of Dark Place at 3 Hans Crescent Street. Some witnesses claim that it is a brothel used to seal pacts by blackmail, with a photo as proof of passage and an informal contract. In any case, the tenants and landlords got rid of all the evidence.

On the other hand, in view of the marks on his hands, all those who were in contact with the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange at the Embassy must have, in the case of those who were in daily contact with him, or may have, in the case of those who were in occasional contact with him, noticed that he showed signs of beatings and mistreatment.

We therefore call upon the judicial authorities of sovereign countries that respect the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Charter against Torture to investigate the

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



whereabouts of these witnesses in order to identify the individuals who may have tortured and drugged the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange.

It is impossible that citizens claiming to be human rights defenders, with seasoned eyes like Yanis Varoufakis, Jean-Luc Melenchon, Serko Horvat, Amy Goodman or Eva Joly did not realise that the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange bore marks of mistreatment or had hostage status.

It is impossible for the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange to have been tortured without Ecuadorian or Colombian officials noticing.

It is impossible that the doctors who examined citizen Julian Paul Assange or UN rapporteur Nils Melzer did not realise that he bore marks of abuse and had hostage status.

In the light of these new facts, the human rights association, WJJA, urges the judicial institutions to open an investigation to determine whether 3 Hans Crescent Street is a Dark Place and whether other hostages or kidnapped prisoners, other than the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange, may have been held and tortured there.

In the light of these new facts, the human rights organisation WJJA requests the judicial institutions to open an investigation to determine who was staying or working at 3 Hans Crescent Street. When questioned by WJJA delegates, the neighbourhood's inhabitants said that he had never, or almost never, met Ecuadorians or Colombians, which is surprising to say the least.

The human rights association, WJJA, urges judicial institutions to investigate whether there is a link between the Obama administration, the Biden administration, the family known as Windsor-Mountbatten, aka Bowes Lyon, aka..., the Westminster Court, the Old Bailey, the City of London, the administration of the State of Virginia, the Australian Government and 3 Hans Crescent Street.

Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment

Adopted and opened for signature, ratification and accession by General Assembly resolution 39/46 of 10 December 1984

entry into force 26 June 1987, in accordance with article 27 (1)

The States Parties to this Convention,

Considering that, in accordance with the principles proclaimed in the Charter of the United Nations, recognition of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world,

Recognizing that those rights derive from the inherent dignity of the human person,

Considering the obligation of States under the Charter, in particular Article 55, to promote universal respect for, and observance of, human rights and fundamental freedoms,

Having regard to article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, both of which provide that no one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment,



Having regard also to the Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Being Subjected to Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, adopted by the General Assembly on 9 December 1975, (...)

PART I

Article 1

1. For the purposes of this Convention, the term "torture" means any act by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as obtaining from him or a third person information or a confession, punishing him for an act he or a third person has committed or is suspected of having committed, or intimidating or coercing him or a third person, or for any reason based on discrimination of any kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity. It does not include pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions.

2. This article is without prejudice to any international instrument or national legislation which does or may contain provisions of wider application.

Article 2

1. Each State Party shall take effective legislative, administrative, judicial or other measures to prevent acts of torture in any territory under its jurisdiction.

2. No exceptional circumstances whatsoever, whether a state of war or a threat of war, internal political instability or any other public emergency, may be invoked as a justification of torture.

3. An order from a superior officer or a public authority may not be invoked as a justification of torture.

Article 3

1. No State Party shall expel, return ("refouler") or extradite a person to another State where there are substantial grounds for believing that he would be in danger of being subjected to torture.

2. For the purpose of determining whether there are such grounds, the competent authorities shall take into account all relevant considerations including, where applicable, the existence in the State concerned of a consistent pattern of gross, flagrant or mass violations of human rights.

Article 4

1. Each State Party shall ensure that all acts of torture are offences under its criminal law. **The same shall apply to an attempt to commit torture and to an act by any person which constitutes complicity or participation in torture.**

2. Each State Party shall make these offences punishable by appropriate penalties which take into account their grave nature.

Article 11

Each State Party shall keep under systematic review interrogation rules, instructions, methods and practices as well as arrangements for the custody and treatment of



persons subjected to any form of arrest, detention or imprisonment in any territory under its jurisdiction, with a view to preventing any cases of torture.

Article 12

Each State Party shall ensure that its competent authorities proceed to a prompt and impartial investigation, wherever there is reasonable ground to believe that an act of torture has been committed in any territory under its jurisdiction.

Article 13

Each State Party shall ensure that any individual who alleges he has been subjected to torture in any territory under its jurisdiction has the right to complain to, and to have his case promptly and impartially examined by, its competent authorities. Steps shall be taken to ensure that the complainant and witnesses are protected against all ill-treatment or intimidation as a consequence of his complaint or any evidence given.

Article 15

Each State Party shall ensure that any statement which is established to have been made as a result of torture shall not be invoked as evidence in any proceedings, except against a person accused of torture as evidence that the statement was made.

Article 16

1. Each State Party shall undertake to prevent in any territory under its jurisdiction other acts of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment which do not amount to torture as defined in article I, when such acts are committed by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity. In particular, the obligations contained in articles 10, 11, 12 and 13 shall apply with the substitution for references to torture of references to other forms of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.

2. The provisions of this Convention are without prejudice to the provisions of any other international instrument or national law which prohibits cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment or which relates to extradition or expulsion.

<https://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CAT.aspx>


WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



No one walks down the street in socks when it's cold. Prisoners in Dark Places or Guantanamo are in socks. Who is holding incommunicado and torturing, in violation of all laws, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange in the UK?



The citizen known as Julian Paul Assange is always in his socks even in the street. We hope that the ones our delegates dropped off for him at Belmarsh prison have been returned.

SANS LIBERTE D'INFORMER, TOUTE AUTRE FORME DE LIBERTE EST ILLUSOIRE

2, rue Frédéric Schneider 75018 Paris

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



SANS LIBERTE D'INFORMER, TOUTE AUTRE FORME DE LIBERTE EST ILLUSOIRE

2, rue Frédéric Schneider 75018 Paris

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



Forcing a citizen to walk around in socks is humiliation and mistreatment which is a violation of the Convention against Torture. Furthermore, in these photos, the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange shows signs of a man under the influence of drugs or psychotropes. His attitude does not fit his situation. He is supposed to be public enemy number one. An individual in this situation does not behave with a light touch. And he wears shoes in case he is attacked. Furthermore, a business leader, a journalist, a media personality, like the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange watches his image because he knows how important it is and doesn't walk around in socks clowning around while his life is threatened and he is under house arrest. An individual in this situation does not behave with a light touch. And he wears shoes in case he is attacked. In which Dark Place is the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange being held hostage, held incommunicado



SANS LIBERTE D'INFORMER, TOUTE AUTRE FORME DE LIBERTE EST ILLUSOIRE

2, rue Frédéric Schneider 75018 Paris



and tortured? By whom? The director and staff of Belmarsh ? Is Belmarsh a Dark Place? Does the British sovereign government operate Dark Places in violation of international law?

In view of the facts developed above, the Human Rights Defense Association, WJJA files a complaint for torture, complicity in torture, abusive sequestration against :

Rafael Correa, Lenin Moreno **Ricardo Paturo Aroca**, **Guillaume Long**, **Andrés Fernando Hidalgo Bautista** (Director of Documentation and Archive Management), **Jorge Vantroi Moreno Fierro** (Consul of Ecuador in London), **Juan Fernando Salazar Granja** (General coordinator of legal advice), **Jose Luis Jacome Guerrero** (Deputy minister for human mobility), **Jorge Oswaldo Troya Fuertes** (Directorate-General for Civil Status, Identification and Registration), **Maria Auxiliadora Mosquera Real** (General co-ordinator of legal advice, in charge), **Santiago Javier Chavez Parera** (Deputy minister for human mobility), **Byron Rolando Suarez Sanchez** (Minister for Foreign Affairs and Human Mobility, deputy), **Maria Fernanda Espinosa Garcès** (Minister for Foreign Affairs and Human Mobility, deputy), **Carlos Abad** (Ecuador's Ambassador to the United Kingdom), **Fidel Narvaez**, **Maria Eugenia Avilés**, **Cathy Ward**, and the management and staff of **Ecuadorian government and Ecuadorian Embassy**.

The Crown of England : Philippe de Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg- Glücksburg, aka Philippe of Moutbatten; Elisabeth II, aka Elizabeth Alexandra Mary of Windsor, aka Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Bowes Lyon, his mother's name, the only identifiable name; Charles Philip Arthur George of Wales whose presumed name is Charles Philip Arthur George Schleswig- Holstein-Sonderburg-Glücksburg; Anne presumed born Anne Elizabeth Alice Louise Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Glücksburg, first husband Mark Philipps, second husband Timothy James Laurence Hamilton so now known as Anne Elizabeth Alice Louise Laurence Hamilton; Andrew Albert Christian Edward of York whose presumed name is Andrew Albert Christian Edward Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Glücksburg, aka Andrew Inverness, aka Air Miles Andy, aka "His Buffoon Highness", according to some of our informants, aka Andrew Hamilton; Edward Antony Richard Louis of Wessex, presumed name Edward Antony Richard Louis Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg- Glücksburg; William William Arthur Philip Louis of Cambridge, presumed name William Arthur Philip Louis Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg- Glücksburg, Henry Charles Albert David of Sussex, aka Prince Harry, aka Toby Melvill, presumed name **Henry Charles Albert David Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Glücksburg**; and all members of the alleged **Mountbatten Windsor, aka Bowes Lyon, family**.

The management and staff of the **UC Global**

Alan Dershowitz, Baltazar Garzon, the citizen known as Jennifer Robinson, Mark Summers, Gareth Peirce, Alaistar Lyon, Amal Clooney, Geoffrey Robertson, Edward Fitzgerald, Liam Walker, Mark Summers, Renata Avila, Per Samuelson, Juan Branco,



Barry J. Pollack, Margaret Ratner Kunstler, Melinda Taylor, Deborah Hrbek, Greg Burns, James D Catlin, Bjorn Hurting, Leif Silbersky, Monckton Chamber, Paul Galbally, Eric Dupond Moretti, Antoine Vey, Héléna Ann Kennedy of Kershaw, Philippe Sands. There are reportedly 126 lawyers, but we have only managed to identify this group.

The citizen known as **Stella Moris**, aka **Stella Morris**, aka **Stella or Sara Gonzalez Devant**, aka **Stella Smith Robertson**

Nils Melzer

The management and staff of **Belmarsh Prison**

The Management and Staff of **SERCO**

Andy Müller Maguhn, Klaus Schleisiek, Bernd Fix, Jens Ohlig, Winfried Motzkus

Nathan Fuller, Sarah Harrison and Naomi Colwin

Sevim Dagdelem, Heike Hänsel, Jean-Luc Mélenchon, Yanis Varoufakis, Serko Horvat, Vivianne Westwood, Pamela Anderson, Eva Joly, Antoine de Caunes, Noam Chomsky, John Pilger, Craig Murray,

Anthony Selwyn Tabatznik known as Tony Tabatznik

Kristinn Hrafnsson, Ron Gonggrip, Ingi Ragnar Ignason, Gudmundur Ragnar Gudmundson, Nadia H, Karl Neptunus, Jenny Lee, P.L., Smari mac Carthy, Borgnir Thoroddsen, Marie S, Daniel Schmitt, Gottfrid Svartholm, Jérémy Zimmerman

Joseph Farrell, Suzan Benn, Caroline Nevejan, Isabel Hilton, Andy Müller Maguhn, Eyal Weizman, Finlay Dobbie, Becky Gardiner, Gill Philipps, Iona Craig, Barbara Bukovska, Martin Tomkinson, Elaine Potter, Michael Langley, Christopher Hird, Brian Basham, David Leigh, James Leigh, Gavin Miller, Josephine Glanville, Sami Akhine, Juliet Ferguson, Jake Charles Rees, Marina Calland, Tom Sanderson, Tom Sanderson.

Rebecca Vincent, Christian Mihr, Christophe Deloire,

And all those who were close to the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange during his ten years of abusive and illegal detention during which he was tortured.

Assange case, Operation Covid 19: violation of fundamental human rights, rights that are imprescriptible, inalienable, unalterable and universal.

There are 3 categories of fundamental human rights:

« Individual rights

These are the rights (and freedoms) linked to the human person and therefore attached to each person:

dignity of the person (right to dispose of one's body, etc.) ;



right to privacy and intimacy ;
 right and freedom to come and go;
 right to property;
 freedom of enterprise ;
 freedom of opinion ;
 freedom of worship;
 right to strike ;
 freedom of artistic creation (Article 1 of the law of July 7, 2016);
 right to security (presumption of innocence, respect for the rights of the defense, benefit of the protection of the police force, etc.).

Collective rights (or freedoms)

This concerns each individual but within a community:

freedom of assembly ;
 freedom of the press
 freedom of association;
 right to demonstrate.

Social rights

These rights are benefits that the State is responsible for providing to citizens:

right to employment ;
 the right to security
 the right to housing;
 the right to education (free education) and culture
 protection of health;
 protection of the environment. »

<https://justice.ooreka.fr/astuce/voir/515649/droits-fondamentaux>

All these rights are guaranteed by the UN Charter and therefore by all member countries that have ratified it. In a democratic society, and in all countries that have ratified the UN Charter, **the freedom of each individual is defined by his or her fundamental rights, which are inalienable, that is, they cannot be taken away from anyone under any circumstances. We remind sovereign countries and the UN Security Council that the Charter is above men and cannot be violated. It is a prescriptive text that prevails over all other legal texts, particularly with regard to the maintenance of world peace and fundamental human rights.**

All the fundamental rights of the citizen known as Julian Paul Assange aka Julian Paul Hawkins, aka Mendax have been violated and first and foremost that of his physical integrity and his right to life.

As the sovereign government of the United Kingdom has ratified the UN Charter and is a member of the UN Security Council, it is expected to respect fundamental human rights and work for peace. We have shown in Complaint 1 that a Parakratos, led by members of the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood, aka Bowes Lyon, aka..., was secretly sequestering and torturing the citizen known as



Julian Paul Assange. We have shown that the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood, aka Bowes-Lyon was staging a mock trial in violation of English and international law, in violation of fundamental human rights. We have demonstrated in this release request that this Parakratos Mountbatten-Windsor may have been involved in the Parakratos Lebensborn set up by the Nazis in the 1930s and possibly earlier. We have shown that the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood, aka Bowes-Lyon, was able to continue the « human breeding » begun by the Nazis in the Lebensborn since the English government would have appropriated the Polish children stolen by the Nazis (See document above). We have shown that members of the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood had ties to the Nazis before and during the war. In Complaint 1, we also showed that members of the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood, aka Bows-Lyon, aka..., is also involved in the Covid 19 terrorist operation (See Complaint 1) by, among others, Imperial College. We recall that Prince Harry arrived dressed as a Nazi at a party. However, since the Mountbatten-Windsor, aka Bowes-Lyon, aka... brotherhood seems to resort to holding political prisoner-type hostages, it could be that this is a hostage act to call for help. Prince Harry reiterates by letting himself be photographed naked during a strip-tease, exposure of the body often characteristic of abused children.

Therefore, we request the judicial authorities of sovereign countries, respectful of the UN Charter, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Corpus of Laws, to **open an investigation to determine the name and place of birth of the citizen known as Harry, aka Henry, aka Henry Charles Albert David of Sussex, aka Prince Harry, aka Toby Melvill, whose alleged birth name should be Henry Charles Albert David Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Glücksburg, the alleged birth name of his alleged father, the citizen known as Charles of Wales.**

Prince Harry dressed as a Nazi and a British MP at a Nazi party

https://www.lepoint.fr/people/quand-le-prince-harry-se-deguisait-en-nazi-09-03-2021-2416963_2116.php

<https://www.elle.fr/People/La-vie-des-people/News/Harry-de-prince-rebelle-a-prince-modele/L-affaire-Nazi-Harry>

https://www.gala.fr/l_actu/news_de_stars/le-prince-william-faux-jeton-ce-gros-scandale-impliquant-harry-quil-na-pas-empeche_456136

<https://www.sudouest.fr/2011/12/21/val-thorens-un-depute-britannique-pris-dans-une-soiree-nazie-587438-3.php?nic>

<https://www.europe1.fr/faits-divers/1-500-euros-d-amende-pour-un-Britannique-qui-a-porte-un-uniforme-nazi-848304>

<https://jeux.ca/jeux-video/le-prince-harry-souhaite-que-fortnite-soit-banni-purement-et-simplement/>

<https://www.europe1.fr/international/a-six-ans-la-reine-elizabeth-ii-faisait-le-salut-nazi-1368864>

<https://www.ladepeche.fr/article/2011/12/23/1246459-val-thorens-la-soiree-nazie-qui-fait-scandale.html>

<https://o.nouvelobs.com/people/mariage-harry-meghan/20180507.OBS6284/vodka-uniforme-nazi-et-photos-intimes-la-jeunesse-tumultueuse-du-prince-harry.html>

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



<https://www.eonline.com/fr/news/789220/la-reinvention-du-prince-harry-comment-les-photos-de-lui-nu-prises-a-las-vegas-ont-transforme-sa-vie>

In view of all the evidence in our possession at this time, we believe it is important to open an inquiry to determine the powers of Parakratos Mountbatten-Windsor within the British sovereign government. As it is difficult for a sovereign government to investigate its own institutions, we urge the judiciary of sovereign countries, respectful of the UN Charter and fundamental human rights, to open an investigation, respecting the sovereignty of the British state (UN Charter Art. 2-1 : « *The Organization is founded on the principle of the sovereign equality of all its Members* »), to determine whether the Mountbatten-Windsor Brotherhood has developed a mafia-like Parakratos within the British sovereign government under Article 1-3 of the UN Charter : « *To achieve international cooperation in solving international problems of an economic, social, intellectual or humanitarian character, and in promoting and encouraging respect for human rights and for fundamental freedoms for all without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion; To be a center for harmonizing the efforts of the nations toward these common ends* ».

Since France has violated all fundamental rights, as well as many other countries, it is legitimate for Parakratos Mounbbatten-Windsor to run these parallel governments underhand. Indeed, the Macron government, which violates the UN Charter, fundamental human rights and the Constitution of France, can no longer be considered a legal government but a Parakratos that pretends to pass illegal laws, just as the Nazis did as early as 1933 in order to serve their objectives of conquest. Indeed, compulsory vaccination is illegal. It is a violation of fundamental human rights which are inalienable, unalterable, guaranteed by the UN Charter and in France by the Constitution. It is a violation of the Nuremberg Code, the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the Universal Declaration of Bioethics of 2005 and Article 163-1 of the French Public Health Code. Forcing populations to be vaccinated, stigmatising populations is a crime against humanity of the Nazi type which falls under Article 7 of the Rome Statute and Article 212-1 of the French Penal Code. Furthermore, the management of companies and all the businesses that will put the health pass into practice, the deputies or denators who are about to pretend to vote for it and institutionalise it, the journalists who do not denounce the criminal nature of all these measures are violating the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the UN Charter, the Constitution of France, the Universal Declaration of Bioethics and the Nuremberg Code. They will be committing a crime against humanity in violation of Article 7 of the Rome Statute and 212-1 of the Penal Code. The members of the management of these companies are liable to life imprisonment as are the members of the Macron government, the mayors of France and all those who will be complicit in these illegal measures. The health pass functions according to the principles of the certificate of Aryanity which allowed access to all public places during Nazism. When will the yellow star, the white star, the pink, red and purple triangle be introduced to sort out the population and stigmatise them? When will we have concentration camps to get rid of asymptomatic contaminating bacilli, which, let's not forget, were the Jews during the war? When will we see the euthanasia of the elderly, the mentally handicapped, the parasites, as in 1933 under Hitler? Unfortunately, according to many witnesses in the world, euthanasia has taken place in retirement homes. We recall that the retirement home room

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



is the best financial investment of recent years. However, in order for these rooms to be profitable, the residents must be as autonomous as possible in order to reduce the costs of human capital and medical supplies.

Declaration on Bioethics

http://portal.unesco.org/fr/ev.php-URL_ID=31058&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html

In view of the above, it is legitimate to consider that the Macron-Merkel Parakratos is itself led by the Mountbatten-Windsor Parakratos. It is also legitimate to ask how many Parakratos under the orders of the Mountbatten-Windsor Parakratos have neutralised the institutions of sovereign countries and other private bodies.

WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com



WJJA

W751254170

wikijusticejulianassange@gmail.com

